

Spearhead

£1.50

No. 392

OCTOBER 2001


ISSN 1364-7105

New York, September 11th 2001

WHO DARES TO ASK

WHY?

(See page two)



WHAT WE THINK

Nationalist comment

Ours is to reason why

The crashing of hijacked aeroplanes into the World Trade Centre in New York and the Pentagon building in Washington, killing thousands, were appalling, brutal and callous acts. They violate every canon of human decency as we know it in the white western world — especially as they could not even be explained as being aimed at political targets; the dead and the maimed were ordinary people, men and women, going about their daily business. That they should be the victims of grievances held against politicians — whether legitimate or not — is totally unacceptable.

Having made this point very clearly, we must part company with many of the resulting observations made by our political leaders and in the organs of 'orthodox' opinion.

Massive retaliation! That is a human enough reaction to such tragedies. And of course, in liberal democracies — particularly in the United States itself — political leaders hardly have any option but to make such

vows of retributive action. Their TV viewers, newspaper readers and voters demand it. And by any normal yardstick of justice it is in principle right. It is not a time, however, in which such emotive responses should rule our judgement. Indeed, some cooler heads on both sides of the Atlantic are already urging us that we should think through very carefully the consequences of virtually declaring war on the 400-odd millions of the Islamic World — for that is what it would amount to if the retaliatory measures presently being talked about are taken. The United States and its allies might well have the technology to cause massive destruction in the targeted countries. But this will not prevent a recurrence of what happened in New York and Washington last month; it will only make it all the more certain.

The first fact that we must recognise is that these atrocities were neither mindless nor cowardly, as some western leaders and commentators have claimed. The people engaged in them planned them and carried them out with great intelligence and also with great courage — a quite fanatical courage of a kind entirely beyond the comprehension of westerners, no doubt, but courage nevertheless. People would not do these things unless they had a cause in which they passionately believed and unless they were convinced that they were fighting a monstrous evil where the most extreme measures were justified. That nothing could justify what was done in the United States last month is axiomatic — to us. But to certain people of wholly different race, culture and belief, the whole world picture is very different. And one thing is certain: to

visit terrible revenge on their co-religionists will serve as no deterrent. There are plenty more kamikaze-minded people in the Islamic World ready to do the same again, and with the organisational resources and the will to do it effectively. So what can America do? What can be done by other western nations, like Britain, who see themselves also as potential targets for outrages of this kind?

First of all, they must recognise the source of the hatred. In a certain endemic sense, it is racial. The tribes — diverse though they are — that make up the Islamic World harbour a visceral hatred of the white peoples. Nothing can be done about this.

But such visceral hatred alone would not suffice to explain current terrorism. The hatred is compounded by the fact that the United States, in particular, simply cannot mind its own business, attend to and protect its vital national interests and let the rest of the world go its own way. The US has been seized by a messianic belief that it is the whole world's conscience, regulator, protector and policeman, that it has the right to use economic and military might to impose its will on the whole planet. In a word, the problem is globalism — the concept of a 'world order' in which sovereign nations are things of the past. The globalist, internationalist mentality has been, for the past half-century, the ruling factor in the politics of the western nations, and the United States in particular. Globalism is in fact doomed: some time not far into the new century it is going to be shipwrecked on the rocks of nationalism and self-determination. But like doomed ideologies and systems generally, it will fight its corner all the more viciously and intolantly as it faces the prospect of its own extinction. This is what we see happening now.

But a further factor intrudes which aggravates everything. America is the headquarters of World Zionism — itself a particular form of globalism which sees the Jews in a special role in the projected world order, destined to lead the other nations and races to what they themselves like to call the 'Brotherhood of Man' but what others regard as nothing less than Jewish imperialism and hegemony. Is this theory a wild one? Well, we see as a fact the complete domination by the Jewish Lobby over both the external and internal politics of the USA — to the point at which that nation considers itself irrevocably bound to support Israel in whatever conflicts the latter may become embroiled in in its region of the earth. It is the complete binding of the United States to Israel which is a major cause of the hatred that it has incurred throughout the Islamic World. That hatred will not abate until the US-Israeli tie is broken.

It is noticeable that, at every juncture at which the United States feels impelled to

Spearhead

No. 392 OCTOBER 2001

PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT

Editor: John Tyndall

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and should not be taken as representing the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of the month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse, without giving reasons, to publish any advertisement submitted. The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts for articles for possible publication. The most useful lengths are of around 1,000 to 1,850 words. *Spearhead* is currently produced using Serif PagePlus 6 software. Articles sent on 3.5" computer disk, preferably in Rich Text Format (RTF), will be welcome if compatible. To ensure acceptance, however, they should also be sent in printed or type-written format. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles in other publications should ensure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and *Spearhead* and to include in the acknowledgement the magazine's full address.

embark on yet another military adventure in fulfilment of its world policing role and calls upon the [white] nations of Europe and elsewhere to support her, those of the European mainland are less unreservedly enthusiastic to do so than is Britain. And it is the same now in response to the latest outrages. There is a good reason for this. In Continental Europe there is much greater awareness of the potentially damaging effects of American world hegemony and the exercising of it than in Britain. Here, this is neatly and simplistically dismissed as 'anti-Americanism', but what it really amounts to is a healthy nationalism residual in the European states concerned and an unwillingness always to pull America's perceived chestnuts out of the fire and at American command. When French leaders opt to look after their own interests, they are not being 'anti-American' so much as pro-French. This national sense is almost wholly lacking in the mainstream leaders of our own country, who — whether they be Labour or Tory — can usually be relied upon to jump when the US President whistles.

No organ of opinion in Britain, whether large or small, has been more unrelenting in its advocacy of a hard line against terrorism than this magazine. For years we have condemned the soft policies adopted by successive British governments against the terrorism of the IRA and its allies. Where vital national interests require that we act to crush terrorists using all necessary means, we should do so. That remains our view.

But here something entirely different to vital national interests — whether they be British or American — is at issue. What is at issue is whether the Americans, with Britain as ever in tow, are going to continue in their futile policy of global mastery, regulation and enforcement. We say they should not. And if nevertheless they are determined to do so we most certainly should not help them.


Likewise at issue is whether the United States, regardless of her own true national interests, is going to allow herself to continue to suffer the political domination of the Israeli Lobby in Washington, and in consequence always side with Israel, whether the latter be right or wrong. We say she should not, and should she continue Britain should not follow suit.

That is the only way out of the present world crisis, of which the recent terrorist acts are not a cause but an inevitable outcome.

STOP PRESS! Were the attacks on New York and Washington known about in advance? Were they by Muslims? (See page 19).

Our lamentable weakness

Aside from the main global issues involved in the recent American tragedy, a number of other uncomfortable realities have been highlighted by what has



WHAT BRITISH POLITICS HAVE COME TO

Kenneth Clarke and Iain Duncan Smith engaging in their ritual grovel to the ethnic minorities in their campaigns for the Tory leadership. They are seen here with Banbir Suri, chairman of the British Asian Conservative link, at a meeting in Acton, West London.

happened. There has been much talk of the failures of security in the United States, particularly at airports. Both there and everywhere else, we are promised that much tougher security precautions are going to be needed in the future to deal with the threat of terrorism on the new scale evident in the events of the 11th September.

But strangely, there has been virtually no mention of the most important security problem of all: the vast numbers of people of Asian and Middle Eastern race now to be found everywhere in the western countries, and no more so than in our own.

Just imagine that the same terrorist threat, and the same consequent security alert, existed in Britain, the United States or almost anywhere else in the West about 40-50 years ago. In such a situation the appearance of an Indian or Arab-looking person in the vicinity of an airport or busy urban thoroughfare, particularly if he or she were carrying a case of some kind, would present a pretty minor security problem. It would be appropriate to stop and search that person, ascertain what he or she was doing in the country, and satisfy ourselves beyond all doubt that the person was not a security risk.

Just imagine what kind of a task this would be with the numbers of these people now to be found milling around everywhere!

It has been said by Mr. Blair and his ministers and echoed by the media that the vast majority of Muslims in Britain are law-abiding folk in no way disposed to acts

of terrorism. Maybe so, but just how do we know at a glance who they are and who the terrorists are? Even if a mere one per cent of Asians or Middle Easterners in the

country are potential terrorists, that poses a massive security problem for us. We have been the very architects of this problem by our liberal immigration policies, which have made Britain a magnet for the millions of the Third World. As long as it remains so and we are unwilling to take the steps to resettle the immigrants and their descendants, winking out the terrorists from the mass of aliens in our midst will be a task verging on the impossible.

IDS wins it

So it is Iain Duncan Smith who is going to be Tory leader after all. It always seemed probable, and now we know it. Those in the party who once thought that in IDS they might have a hard-line right-wing 'white hope' should now surely be the wiser. Mr. Duncan Smith's leadership campaign showed that he is nothing more than a blatant opportunist willing to face every which way depending on which lobby he is addressing. In the middle of the campaign he did almost a complete U-turn on Clause 28 forbidding the promotion of homosexuality in schools, having previously backed it and later saying he would consider abolishing it. He strove to outdo his rival Kenneth Clarke in grovelling to the ethnic minorities. When it was revealed that one of his backers was the father of the British National Party leader, he instantly panicked and fired him — joining in the usual ritual condemnations of the BNP.

Iain Duncan Smith is, in other words, par for the Tory course — out of the familiar stable: a wet 'liberal' who will say or do anything to win office. Nothing has changed. Toryism totters blindly on towards eclipse.

ONE CHANGE TOO FAR

OVER the past two years, for reasons that should be obvious to most of our readers, *Spearhead* has been extremely sparing in its criticisms of the British National Party leadership. But this has not been good enough for some people. To them, even the mildest dissent from decisions made on behalf of the BNP is something to be stigmatised as "sniping", "sour grapes", "rocking the boat" and even "attacks on the party". To some, in the true spirit of Stalinism, frank and free internal debate would be better outlawed, and whenever it manifests itself it should be given the label of 'treason' – although, if memories stretch back a little further than two years, it will be recalled that the very people who are hyper-sensitive to this kind of thing did a great deal of it themselves when they were seeking to obtain, rather than being the occupants of, the seats of power in the party.

It has been the policy of this magazine to give these touchy souls the very minimum excuse to condemn us on the above grounds, while at the same time refusing to be silenced when anything happens which calls strongly for critical comment. Nick Griffin, when appearing at the count in Oldham for the announcement of the general election result there, wore a t-shirt bearing the words "Gagged for speaking the truth." Well, the rule that there should not be a gag on the truth applies not just to some of us but to all of us. We at *Spearhead* have in fact witnessed a number of developments in the BNP over the past year or two which have caused us deep unease, but we have often gagged ourselves when it has come to protesting about them rather than provide fuel for our opponents in the party to attack us for disloyalty.

Committee for 'ethnics'

For instance, we have to say that we have never been very happy about the formation of a special circle attached to

the BNP called the 'Ethnic Liaison Committee'. The explained purpose of this circle is to enable co-operation between the party and non-white ethnic minority groups who favour its policy of stopping immigration and promoting voluntary repatriation. With the idea of such co-operation in itself we have no


The BNP leader has stated that he believes an all-white Britain is no longer possible. *Spearhead* disagrees

quarrel, and in fact under the previous party leadership the occasional joint action with non-white groups was permitted. However, we felt that the concept had been taken a step too far with the setting up of a specific organisation, which gave it a formal recognition which it had not previously enjoyed. Our principal reservation about this move was that, whatever protestations may be made to the contrary, it would be taken by many in the party to indicate something almost tantamount to the granting of membership status to its non-European participants.

We know that this has been emphatically denied; the party has said of the circle that it means: "Friends not family, co-operation not membership." Maybe so, but the fact remains that, to a not insubstantial body of opinion in the BNP, it has been seen as the thin end of the wedge of admission of non-whites to the party. Such a view may well be unfounded, but it nevertheless persists. Experience in the past has taught us that organisational innovations which cause such apprehension and unrest among the membership, however rationally they may be explained, are to be avoided. The gut instinct of our members should never be lightly ignored, for it is the fuel that drives the party on.

It is not true, incidentally, that this editor, when BNP leader, ever consented to a half-Turkish person being admitted to BNP membership, although consent was given to his helping the party as a non-member.

Nevertheless, despite our misgivings at the time the formation of the 'Ethnic Liaison Committee' was announced, we did not loudly proclaim these misgivings in these columns or anywhere else. As we have indicated, our policy has always been to ration criticism as sparingly as possible.



FOR EVER INCOMPATIBLE

The only way to ensure that our future is not blighted by inter-racial strife is the total and permanent separation of white and non-white.

'Salt in the soup'

Later, another matter came to our notice which gave rise to serious concern. In an article on the BNP in the *Daily Mirror* on the 29th May this year, Nick Griffin was quoted as using a favourite metaphor of his to describe the presence of ethnic minorities in Britain. "A little salt in the soup," he said, "is OK." He was then reported as going on to say: "If you have soup without any salt it is frankly unpalatable and the salt makes the soup slightly more interesting." But... "If you put too much salt in the soup then it is horrible."

No possible construction could be put on these words but that Mr. Griffin finds "unpalatable" a Britain with no non-white ethnic minorities, that he thinks a Britain with some ethnic minorities is "slightly more interesting" but that with too many of the ethnic minorities the country would become "horrible".

We could hardly believe our eyes as we read these words. Was Mr. Griffin actually being misquoted by the *Daily Mirror* writer, one Paul Byrne? We thought it only fair to ask him, and to this purpose a letter was dispatched to him by one of our contacts around the country purporting to be an ordinary member of the public and enquiring whether the words printed were actually what he said. Our contact never received a reply

Mindful always of the need to guard against *Spearhead's* being seen as a platform for internal grumbles and a source of party disunity, we said nothing about this at the time – biting our tongues, so to speak, as so often we had done before.

But now there has been a further development over which we feel we cannot maintain silence. In an interview on BBC Three Counties Radio at the beginning of last month, later relayed through several other stations and quoted extensively in newspapers, BNP leader Nick Griffin announced that he was looking for links with the Sikh community. Precisely what kind of links we do not know, but perhaps this would mean nothing more than the joint actions authorised by the previous party leadership and referred to earlier in this article. At this stage it would be premature to jump to conclusions.


Multi-culturalism accepted

But what gave far greater ground for concern and alarm was the accompanying statement, made during the same interview, that: "The BNP accepts that a whites-only Britain was no longer possible, and wants to make the best of a bad job out of the multi-cultural society."

As to the meaning of this statement, we cannot see that there could be more than one: that the BNP has now abandoned its commitment to the eventual achievement of an all-white Britain, that it accepts the multi-cultural society as an unchangeable fact, and that we must now just grin and bear it and make the best of it.

This, if correct, is fully in accordance with what Mr. Griffin appears to have said to the *Daily Mirror* in May, and it amounts to a betrayal of BNP activists and a repudiation of everything they have fought for over the past 19 years.

Perhaps there will be some who will claim that these statements should not be taken too literally, that they are merely examples of clever tactics, that Mr.



NICK GRIFFIN

Appears to see ethnic minorities as 'salt in the soup'.

Griffin's purpose is no more than to fend off the charge that the BNP are 'racists', and that party supporters should understand this.

But we would disagree. We believe that not only are such declarations wrong in principle but, furthermore, they are not even politically clever.

Of course, we recognise that sometimes things have to be said (or not said) publicly which do not totally reflect what politicians believe privately. Of course, we are aware that in the real world of politics a straight line is not always the best route to a desired destination. Only two months ago, in a signed article in *Spearhead*, this editor acknowledged this in the context of another issue, saying:-

"Every moral imperative has to be evaluated in terms, among other things, of its practicability. Without political power, nothing 'moral' can be done; and that which in pursuit of what is morally desirable renders political power forever out of reach is self-defeating."

But we do not believe that this general rule of politics, valid though it is in some situations and over some issues, justifies this quite shameful surrender, in the name of the British National Party, over what is perhaps the core principle of its entire political beliefs.

Do those who defend this kind of nonsense suppose for one single moment that declarations like those of Mr. Griffin to the *Daily Mirror* and to Three Counties Radio will diminish in the slightest bit the implacable hostility of the media towards the BNP and their continued branding of it as a party of 'racists'?

And do they imagine for one moment that this kind of ingratiating waffle is going to impress the electors of places like Oldham, Burnley and London's Newham and Tower Hamlets. Just how many votes would have been won in Oldham as a result of the locals reading this tiny piece of small print in the *Daily Mirror* a few days before? We suspect very few, if any at all. Our votes in Oldham were achieved

by what the locals saw on the streets with their own eyes in the way of Asians rioting and tearing their town apart. It is hardly likely that, as these people beheld their cars burning and their neighbours' windows being smashed, they had time for such niceties as "salt in the soup."

Wrong signals

On the other hand, declarations that the British National Party no longer aims at an all-white Britain, and that its leader actually favours some ethnic minority presence here as making the country "more interesting", are going to send out all the wrong signals to the hard core of its active membership, who have not sweated, sacrificed and battled for all these years past for anything less than a total restoration of their country to its indigenous white people.

Contrary to all this nonsense, a whites-only Britain is indeed possible – through a BNP victory. And without such a victory not even any limited relief from the present multi-racial horror will ever be possible. Needless to say, there are many views as to how this aim will be achieved, and we should listen to every such view that is presented in a sensible and civilised way. And there is no reason for the BNP to abandon its commitment – which it has always had – to achieve such a whites-only Britain by the most humane possible means.

But the day we abandon our commitment to the aim itself will be the day for the abandonment of our party and all it stands for and has fought for. If some are willing to contemplate this, this magazine most certainly is not.

Already, our telephone lines have been buzzing with angry comments on this latest piece of tampering with the party's principles, and we have received numerous requests for guidance as to what to do.

Our response is very simple. We say to everyone: do not, under any circumstances and whatever your anger, entertain for one moment the idea of quitting the party. There is nowhere else to go. Other nationalist organisations are totally ineffective and are going nowhere. Splits and breakaways will achieve nothing – as recent evidence should have proved. This issue can only be resolved – and *must* be resolved – within the BNP.

Whilst strong emotions on this matter are understandable, emotions are never good guidelines for political action. Torn-up or returned membership cards may get something off the chest, but they accomplish nothing more, and they simply disempower people when it comes to doing something practical to put things right.

And this is a matter which must, at all costs, be put right.

When it is patriotic to hate one's country

PATRIOTISM, as we all know Sam Johnson said, is the last refuge of a scoundrel. This aphorism has today become a popular one for quoting out of context so as to put across the idea, favoured by the politically correct, that patriotism is a scoundrelly sentiment. Of course, Johnson meant no such thing; his point was that many an act committed by scoundrels was likely to be defended by them on the grounds that it was all done for patriotic motives — rather different!

Let us look at the question of what patriotism means. The most common definition is that it means love of country. But what is a country but a mere piece of land? What makes it worthy of love (or otherwise) are the attributes given to it by the occupying people: its man-made features; its culture; its institutions; its history, heritage and achievements. Its natural beauty may have something to do with it too, but love of that is hardly patriotism. We appreciate a mountain view, a forest, a coastline, a river, for its own sake, not especially because it is *our* mountain view, forest, coastline or river. The Essex marshlands adjacent to the Thames Estuary, with their miles of electric pylons, do not constitute the grandest scene on which to feast our eyes, but we would defend them as stoutly as we would defend the Scottish Highlands or the Cumbrian Lakes — because they belong to *us*, not to another people, and because *our* works are to be found everywhere upon them. This is what patriotism is about. Patriotism is — or should be — synonymous with *nationalism*. You show me a person who professes to be a patriot but will not admit to being a nationalist and I will show you a person who is simply frightened to be heard expressing an unfashionable idea. And nationalism, at the end of the day, is meaningless when divorced from race. Whether we are talking about the marshlands, mountains or lakes of the United Kingdom, the idea that we would cherish them were they to be dotted with the constructions and artefacts of an African tribe is too absurd to contemplate. Patriotism, when stripped of the rhetoric, is an *ethnic* sentiment.


UNCONCERN

But patriotism should not be blind or uncritical; quite the contrary. When it becomes those things it becomes no more

than a lazy unconcern for the state of one's home, the behaviour of one's family, the physical, mental and moral condition of oneself. The anger that a patriot should direct at a foreign foe invading his territory or in some other way threatening his people's interests should be capable of being targeted

JOHN TYNDALL says we should distrust the flag-wavers who are blind to Britain's faults

also at everything trashy, base and contemptible in his country and his people themselves. In all of us there are good and bad impulses, one or another of which may come out and manifest itself according to different stimuli. The same applies to peoples collectively. Nations can think and act honourably or shamefully. When the



SAMUEL JOHNSON
He had phony 'patriots' well taped

latter occurs, patriots of those nations should be seized by a disgust infinitely stronger than anything they may feel towards the same phenomenon when viewed beyond the borders.

Patriots of contemporary Britain must at times surely experience starkly conflicting emotions. Our country, in the nature of things, must at all times deserve our allegiance — in the sense that we are duty-bound to make the decisions that best serve its interests and welfare, if necessary at the expense of the interests and welfare of other nations. That is the obligation of a patriot.

But can our country at all times deserve our love? That question today is complex, rather in the way of a love-hate relationship. Psychologists tell us that love and hate are much closer to each other than either is to indifference, and I would certainly agree with that. I, for one, am utterly incapable of

feeling indifferently about Britain; but I cannot pretend that I am never capable of hating it. Indeed, the image in which it appears to me almost daily — whether it be in the actions of its government, the thought-processes of its political and opinion-forming classes, the shoddy standards of its services, the oafish behaviour of many of its tourists in foreign parts or the scruffy, litter-ridden prospect of numerous of its public places — is one that can only provoke hatred. The hatred lies not so much towards the observed phenomenon itself as towards the mentality that gives rise to it and permits it.

BLACK 'ENGLISHMEN'

Again, conflicting emotions were present in my case when I watched the big football match in Munich on the 1st September. As always, I set out wanting England (or, when applicable, Britain) to win. But this partisanship was considerably dimmed when I saw three black players in the 'English' line-up facing an all-white team of Germans. Rooting for this multi-racial XI in England shirts seemed to me a rather ridiculous expression of patriotism. As it turned out, this 'England' XI played unexpectedly well and the German team (later including one black substitute) unexpectedly badly. 'England', as everyone will know, won 5-1. I suppose the feel-good factor was a little more present than it would have been if they had lost, but not all that much so in the case of this Briton. I am afraid I could not join the cheering as I would have liked.

But what I then witnessed — and later saw on news bulletins — was groups of England fans making crude gestures of triumph towards the Germans, rather in the manner of kids taunting other kids in a kindergarten. This reminded me of the headlines in the moron press both before and

after the game, which positively revelled in the prospect, and then the perceived actuality, of Fritz's face being rubbed in the dirt. I have often said to friends in half-jocular, half-serious observation that there is no sound quite as silly on the face of this earth as that of the Brits talking about the Germans. Let Rolls Royce be owned by Volkswagen, let our highways be traversed by Mercedes and MAN lorries bearing UK registration plates, let Munich and Hamburg be cities that put most of our own to shame, let our latest ocean-going luxury liner be built in a German shipyard, let today's Germany be an infinitely more powerful, efficient and successful nation than we are — but, by Golly, when — for once — we beat the Fritzes in the sporting arena let us gloat, gesture, show two fingers and belch in drunken delirium!

STILL FIGHTING THE WAR

We, the British — in all our righteous pomp — are still fighting the World War that Russian manpower and American machinery largely won for us, while the Germans have moved on and rebuilt their once-devastated land into the powerhouse of Europe. Basil Fawlty said to his staff in the event of German guests coming: "Don't mention the war." Fat chance! Britain is today still completely obsessed with World War II; its images (if not its total reality) seem to provide escape from our humiliating present.

The sight of Britain as it has now become disgusts and revolts this patriot. Revolting are its comically camp 'leaders', its ridiculously puffed-up local public officials, its canting do-gooders, its greedy and self-obsessed 'entertainers' and the moronic popular 'culture' on which they grow rich, its ghastly television and its even ghastlier 'music', its hideous urban landscapes, its legions of sickly-looking, slack-jawed, slave-like people encountered in every shopping precinct and on every street, lamentably lacking any kind of pride — of country, of race and even of person. Revolting, perhaps above all, is its unctuous historical-philosophical picture of itself: a picture seeped in sanctimonious moral superiority over tyrants slain but in which the fire-bombing of hundreds of thousands of civilians is justified in the process.

And in all this, not the least revolting spectre is that of the so-called 'patriots' — the professional Union Jack-wavers of politics and journalism who make good livings out of insisting how wonderful Britain is but who will never risk a pay cheque attacking and exposing the real forces that are ruining it: the jobsworths who occasionally dare to say things that come straight out of the BNP Manifesto but ensure always to safeguard their backsides by continuing to slag our party off as the incarnation of all human evil. These indeed

are precisely the kinds of people Dr. Johnson had in mind when he penned his immortal words on patriotism.

These 'patriots' are regularly to be heard voicing shrill warnings about keeping the Pound, about staying out of federal Europe, about protecting British 'freedom'. But what kind of Britain is it that they really want to preserve? It seems to me that it is the inefficient, slovenly, industrially third-rate,



A NATION SUBMERGED IN SILLINESS
A tailfin of a BA aeroplane after the airline's logo had been changed from its original Union Jack motif to a piece of 'ethnic art'. The change cost millions. After much dissatisfaction from customers it was changed back again — at a cost of yet more millions. We seem to have complete crackpots making the decisions at top level in Britain.

incompetently governed 51st state of the USA that we have lived in since 1945. We in the BNP share their concerns about absorption in Europe and oppose it as strongly as any of them do, but this is not in order to restore the *status quo ante* of pre-1973. It is to retain for Britain the freedom of action essential to carry out the revolution needed to restore its former greatness — a vision of which none of these 'patriots' are remotely capable.

In an article last month I focused on the way in which Britain has been feminised. In one some years ago I said that, in another sense, we have become a childish nation. There is nothing wrong with femininity — as long as it is balanced by masculinity. There is nothing wrong with childhood — as long as it is followed by a growing up. In the 'New' Britain there is little sign of either the masculine or the adult.

And the latter shortcoming is everywhere. We seem to have become a nation submerged in silliness, incapable of taking seriously the things that should be taken seriously, yet often in deadly earnest about

the frivolous and the peripheral. Societies abound among us for the preservation of this or that mammal or aquatic species, but woe betide anyone who suggests the preservation of the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic peoples who provided the foundations of our nationhood! Our political culture of today almost wholly excludes any understanding — or even any interest — concerning the real laws of the universe that cause nations to rise or fall, to be successful or unsuccessful, powerful or weak. Management of decline seems to represent about the summit of our ambitions. Anything above and beyond this requires too great mental exertion and too strong moral nerve.

THE WAY WE WERE

But we have not always been like this. The expansion from small island to vast empire just had to be the achievement of a people remarkable in intelligence, character and devotion to adult human ideals. Just occasionally today, the light of ancestral grandeur shines through the fog of modern decadence. Such a case was the Falklands war, in which our fighting men (though not their political leaders) emulated the deeds of Trafalgar, Waterloo and Rorke's Drift. I think that I saw something of the old breed in the faces and carriages of those men and women who converged on London in the massive countryside march of 1998 — thousands upon thousands upon thousands of them. We might have seen them again this year, in even greater numbers, had the foot-and-mouth crisis — just another symptom of our present national incompetence — not ruled out their presence in the capital.

Patriotism is going to be essential to Britain's recovery; about that there can be a wide consensus. But what kind of patriotism — patriotism to what things — is going to be a subject of raging controversy, with the most enlightened patriots sometimes even stigmatised as 'unpatriotic'. I believe that in the patriotism of the future there must be room for hatred as well as love: hatred of all that is rotten, mean, smug, lazy, envious, cowardly and hypocritical within us as a nation — balanced by love for the great, the grand and the noble that have come out in us at moments, usually completely unheralded and unexpected, in our island and imperial story. Of the latter there is little in evidence today, so it is up to us nationalists to be aware of it, to kindle it, to fight for it and to win it back. But before that we have to learn to hate.

Read *Spearhead* on the Internet!

You can obtain the best articles and news items from *Spearhead* by visiting the *Spearhead* website at:-

www.spearhead-uk.com

EDITOR'S INTRODUCTION: *Our article appearing in the August issue concerning homosexuality provoked a reply in Identity magazine last month titled 'Give the malcontents the cold shoulder'. The Identity article was for the most part hysterical in tone, amounting at times to paranoia. It made little attempt to face the arguments contained in our own article but resorted most of the time to abuse, general attacks, puerile name-calling, and, in its final paragraph, thinly veiled threats — as contained in the words: "We've been very patient with the purveyors of gloom and extremism, but they're drinking in the Last Chance Saloon now."*

We would have been more than happy to hear a rationally argued case in opposition to our August article theme calling for the return of the laws prohibiting homosexual acts. Such a case has been presented by Mr. Charles Lockwood in the following article, which we are pleased to print, notwithstanding that we do not agree with all its conclusions.

CHARLES LOCKWOOD **argues against a return** **of the old prohibitions**

JOHN TYNDALL's article in the August issue of *Spearhead* on homosexuality was a timely reminder of the extent to which the Right has allowed the controlling liberal élite to dictate the terms of debate on this subject. There is little doubt that a large majority of the British public consider the practice of this deviant brand of sexuality to be abhorrent and disgusting. Yet their views are routinely ignored and despised by the politically correct class for whom 'homophobia' is second only to 'racism' in its warped catalogue of deadly sins.


John Tyndall concludes that homosexual acts should again be subject to criminal penalties as they were until 1967. Regrettably, however much one might sympathise with this view, the evidence suggests that such legislation would no longer be practicable and, in any event, would serve very little purpose.

In the 1960s, when Parliament debated the proposal to decriminalise homosexual activities, all those who expressed their support for the bill prefaced their comments with a condemnation of homosexuality. They considered that the law should be changed, not because they thought homosexuality was an acceptable form of behaviour, but for more humane reasons — principally to remove the fear of blackmail. They also considered that the law should no longer police private activity of this kind. This measure was generally perceived as a gesture of tolerance to a persecuted, albeit

HOMOSEXUAL LEGISLATION: ANOTHER VIEW

depraved, minority who posed little threat to society. So it came as a disagreeable surprise to all concerned to discover that very quickly homosexuals, or 'gays' as they now prefer to describe themselves, started openly and shamelessly to parade their perverted lifestyle and to demand further

rights. Events moved at such a pace that by the late 1970s appeasing the 'gay' lobby became one of the main planks of the liberal agenda. 'Gay Pride' marches, gay festivals, gay films and plays, gay council committees and gay propaganda in schools were all introduced with minimal resistance from the



'IN YOUR FACE'

'Gay Liberation' has opened the door to sickening public demonstrations by members of the homo fraternity, who seem to want to parade their lifestyle everywhere. The writer believes this kind of thing should be stopped but that the law should not interfere with homosexual practices in private.

Right.

However, nemesis in the form of AIDS, was just around the corner. In retrospect, something of this kind was inevitable given the almost unbelievably destructive promiscuous behaviour of many homosexuals. Needless to say, the response of liberals was not to condemn this outrageous lifestyle — to do so would be too 'judgemental'. Instead, homosexuals were portrayed as unfortunate but blameless victims of an unexpected deadly disease, one that it was thought would soon engulf us all. This viewpoint was the justification for alarmist Government advertisements that proclaimed the virtues of 'safe sex', a further surrender to the notion that sexual promiscuity is, or should be seen as, normal and acceptable. The AIDS threat was presented as sufficient reason to encourage a wide public debate on a range of previously unmentionable sexual practices.

What should our position be?

How then should the Right deal with the insidious threat posed by rampant homosexuality? Clearly, to allow things to remain as they are is not an option. But this does not mean that we should initiate a futile attempt to legislate away unnatural sexual practices carried out in private by consenting adults. John Tyndall's arguments for doing so are that it would send out a clear signal to society and that historically there have always been laws against deviant sexual behaviour. Neither of these arguments is very persuasive. Laws, particularly new ones, if they are to remain credible have to be backed up by enforcement action. As this is a private activity, it would be enormously difficult to gather sufficient evidence to bring a prosecution, and any attempt to do so would tie up an inordinate amount of police time that could be much better spent chasing burglars, muggers and the like.

Moreover, sexual offences are normally considered sufficiently serious to be tried in crown courts, and there is no likelihood whatsoever of any jury convicting consenting adults of ordinary homosexual activity, however strong the evidence. This is because, although a significant majority of people consider homosexuality to be wrong, they feel stronger still about the state invading citizens' privacy. With regard to the ancestral wisdom argument, it is sufficient to say that although earlier generations were right about many things they were not infallible. For example, they condoned hanging for relatively trivial offences such as petty theft, believed that the age of consent should be as low as thirteen, and unlike the BNP, considered that women should be denied the vote, let alone the right to stand for parliament. So it is far better that we should judge issues on the merits of the arguments rather than

appeal to a better past that may never have existed.

Another factor that needs to be taken into account is that once the genie is out of the bottle it is nearly impossible to return it. During the past thirty years, in Britain, as in other Western countries, a huge network of homosexual organisations, clubs, pubs and other means of contact, has come into existence. Criminalising homosexual activity will not end this, and this is particularly so since internet use by homosexuals has become so widespread. There seems to be a naïve belief by some that if homosexuality is made illegal you remove homosexuals from society. The reality is that there will continue to be just as many, and because the risk of a successful prosecution is minuscule, they will still practise their perversions to much the same extent as now.

However, although criminalising private homosexual activities is impractical it does not follow that no action can be taken by Government against homosexual perversion. In the late 1980s the Thatcher Government introduced the 'Section 28' regulation which prevented local authorities from promoting homosexuality, particularly in schools. Although no prosecutions have followed as a result, it probably acted as a brake on some of the more pernicious 'gay' propaganda that was then beginning to appear, targeted at young people. Section 28 has always been intensely loathed by liberals, but the public at large strongly supports it, as has been shown recently, particularly in Scotland, when the present Government tried to abolish it. I believe that the time has come for this measure to be extended to other spheres so that the presentation and promotion of homosexuality in the media, universities and elsewhere as a supposedly normal form of

expression is tightly circumscribed, either by a government-promoted code of conduct or by a statutory body, if necessary. This could also cover the promotion of other deleterious sexual practices, such as casual promiscuity and miscegenation.

Lesbian hostility

In addition, the age of consent for homosexual activities should be increased to 21 and, unlike previously, it should apply to women. Lesbians are notoriously intolerant of normal legitimate male sexual attraction, as well as virulently hostile to femininity, marriage and the family. Curbing the excesses of this subversive movement is long overdue. Prosecutions against predatory homosexual activity aimed at young people are much more likely to result in convictions than would be the case when only adults are involved.

The widespread revulsion amongst the majority of the public to homosexual practices certainly deserves a response. The Conservative Party is very unlikely to do anything, particularly in its current 'touchy-feely' mood, when it is desperately trying to outbid the other major political parties in demonstrating its 'inclusive' credentials. But it must be stressed that for the law to interfere in private adult sexual activity is futile. The Right should now concentrate on advocating firm measures to control the promotion of homosexuality and lesbianism. Between them they have been the catalyst for the growth in sexually transmitted diseases, casual sex and the campaign to denigrate romance, marriage and the family. Action along these lines would satisfy most of the public's concern on this issue, and this is the direction in which the Right should now be heading.

BNP Rally Video

Rally '98 is the video recording of the British National Party's Annual Rally which took place on the 29th November 1998. After a decision by the BNP not to put this film on the market, its production and distribution were taken over by *Spearhead*.

The rally was possibly the best in the party's history. It was held at a venue in the West Midlands after successful attempts had been made by political opponents, including the Board of Deputies of British Jews, to get previous bookings of venues cancelled. After many interesting reports by regional and departmental representatives, the film features speeches by Nick Griffin (then Publicity Director), Richard Edmonds (then National Organiser) and John Tyndall (then party leader). An especially touching feature is the hugely successful collection appeal by Dave Bruce, which raised a staggering £12,000 for the then all-important Euro Election Fund. Less than a month afterwards, Dave was no longer with us, having been tragically taken away by an unexpected heart attack. See and hear the speakers at this stirring event as if you were there!

Rally '98 is available at £16.00 post-free, with a 30 per cent discount for all orders of three or over. Make cheques out to Spearhead Recordings and send to PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT.

RALLY '98



Video recording of the
British National Party Annual Rally
November 29th 1998

Hosts:
NICK GRIFFIN
DAVID BRUCE
RICHARD EDMONDS
JOHN TYNDALL
& OTHERS

Spearhead Recordings, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 5DW

Decriminalised parking enforcement in Southend

WHAT a misnomer this is; and where Southend goes along this dangerous road others are bound soon to follow. I say this in the full knowledge that globalist politicians are slowly but surely eroding our freedoms and our safeguards of them.

At first, a decriminalised parking system sounds good. Clearly there will be no penalty points on a licence for failing to comply in some way. That is the seemingly good news. The word 'enforcement', however, signals that maybe not all the news will be good. Let me start from the beginning.

I have received through my door a leaflet; a glossy, full-colour, printed-both-sides, expensive-to-produce, not to mention fold-and-then-deliver, leaflet. It is from my local Southend Council. Nothing is too good for our local populace – since we pay for it anyway.

The leaflet in question advises me that from 1st September 2001 Southend Borough Council takes over responsibility for enforcing parking, loading and waiting restrictions in the borough – originally the job of the police through traffic wardens.

The reasons given for this change in Big Brother's *modus operandi* are as follows:-

To encourage safe and sensible parking; to reduce congestion; to make it safer for drivers and pedestrians; to allow buses and service vehicles to operate more effectively; to improve the general environment; to use control and management of parking as part of the council's integrated transport policy.

We are treated to lovely diagrams explaining road signs and markings; if you don't know them you cannot have passed a driving test anyway. This, however, is all a smokescreen concealing what can only be described as a control freak's dream; Get this:-

DISCOUNT

If you park illegally you will be given a Penalty Charge Notice of £60. Pay within 14 days and the council will kindly give you a 50 percent discount – you only need pay £30!

Should you disagree with the PCN that was issued, you can appeal – to the council. Yes, that's right – the same bunch of perishers who issued

it!

Even that has been covered, as there's a list of "the only legal grounds for claiming the parking ticket was incorrectly issued." There are six

ARCHIE EDWARDS reports on a local innovation

of these, and three are that you were not the driver of the vehicle.

Now I quote: "If payment is not received within 28 days, the owner of the vehicle will be sent a statutory 'notice to owner', which allows another 28 days to pay the full £60 or alternatively make formal representation to Southend-on-Sea Borough Council on the 'notice to owner' form.

Should your representation be rejected by the council and you wish to appeal, an independent adjudicator will be appointed to consider your appeal, whether this is personally or in writing.

Appointed by whom? This doesn't sound like justice, just a political carve-up; especially when you find out that it's the Council that gets the money.

There is more to this 'decriminalised' system.

Should you then fail to pay the penalty charge (that is the fee; 'fee' makes it sound so professional doesn't it?), like the services of a whore, the fee will be increased in accordance with the penalty charge regulations by 50 per cent to £90.

Well, you did read the regulations, didn't you?

PAY OR ELSE!

Now we come to the best bit. This explains what decriminalising really means.

Quote: "If this is not paid, it will be registered as a debt and may be enforced by bailiffs. All bailiffs' costs become the responsibility of the individual."

So there you have it. Southend Borough Council is judge, jury, appeal court and dispossessor all in one. It is political control without the possibility of protection of the individual by a court of law.

It was better as a criminal offence – three points, a fine and maybe time to pay, but the court would rarely sanction a raid on your home to dispossess you for a parking fine.

Decriminalising means removing the protection of the courts from the individual.

This time drivers, but who next? Now is the time to resist, but who can be bothered?

I urge everyone to keep out of Southend. After three months' drop in traders' profits and other revenue, the council will be pressured to drop the scheme.

Keep out of Southend-on-Sea, Essex, and tell all your friends. Warn them!

This leaflet has back-page notes in eleven different languages and is distributed only in our Borough.

You still want to come here?

Don't Get a Ticket

New Parking Enforcement Arrangement

from 1st September 2001

Helping to improve traffic flow, road safety and our environment

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

BIG BROTHER'S ORDERS
Leaflet from Southend Council

The things they're saying

Nothing that I am about to say will, I hope, be seen as any sort of justification for the wicked terrorism we have witnessed in the United States. If my comments bring any cheer to the supporters of the terrorists' causes then, sadly, so be it. We must face the world as it is, not as we would like it to be.

The lesson of the week's events is that imperialism, as we normally understand it, is finished. We will, no doubt, still see nations forcing their will on their neighbours, and refusing to cede power to peoples seeking independence. But imperial power in the grand sense of a nation acting as world policeman and arbiter — rather as Britain did in the 19th century — is over.

The US may attempt to maintain the role. But Britain should be very careful about what we might get dragged into, since the dying kicks of imperial power are liable to be angry, rash and prolonged.

ANDREW ALEXANDER
Daily Mail (14.9.01)

If the fight to extirpate terrorism turns into an anti-Muslim crusade, we shall recruit an additional 400 million suicide bombers. So Jewish settlements in the occupied territories must be dismantled, and Israel must withdraw to its internationally recognised boundaries in return for the most stringent security guarantees.

If Israel will not agree to this, then the US must remove its financial, political and military sponsorship. If the Americans will not agree, NATO should retract its unconditional support for the United States.

M.G. PAYNE
Letter to Daily Telegraph (14.9.01)

Alan Golding (letter, 21st August) says there is probably no state founded more legally than that of Israel. On 27th November 1947 the UN General Assembly conducted, as was customary in such matters, an unofficial preliminary vote. The idea of partitioning Palestine into a Jewish and an Arab state was rejected.

The vote was stalled for two days, it being the American Thanksgiving holiday. The Jewish delegation, in combination with influential Americans, used this time to exert pressure. Liberia was threatened with a US boycott of its tyre exports. President Carlos Rojas of the Philippines, who was awaiting confirmation of a large US congressional credit, was urged by 26 senators and two Supreme Court judges to reverse his vote. Haiti was offered a \$5 million loan. Other nations were subjected to pressure. When the vote was taken again on the 29th November, it was in favour of partition.

The result was civil war in Palestine, with

EXTRACTS FROM THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA

Jewish terrorists grabbing as much Arab land as they could in anticipation of declaring a Jewish state once the British left on the 15th May 1948.

HELEN BEST
Letter to The Independent (3.9.01)

The nightly invasion of this country by 'persecuted' asylum-seekers further serves to illustrate the Government's incompetence in dealing with anything resembling a national crisis. Tony Blair is supremely naïve if he expects the French officials on the Channel coast to do anything other than wave *bon voyage* as the invaders jog past them on their one-way trip. How our Government's pathetic attitude contrasts with that of the Australian Prime Minister John Howard, who has defended his country's laws and stood firm!

JON ELSON
Letter to Daily Telegraph (7.9.01)

The failure to tackle the asylum crisis is just another chapter in the long history of governments' failure to tackle immigration, illegal or otherwise.

If Cabinet ministers were having asylum-seekers stationed in their constituencies or near their homes, remedies would, of course, be rapidly found. For example, we could refuse to accept Afghan refugees. Their natural destinations are Pakistan or Bangladesh. Most are in Pakistan already.

ANDREW ALEXANDER
Daily Mail (7.9.01)

Awash with money, and yet ugly beyond belief, our towns represent the landscape of modern England, and things are getting worse. How can any person who truly cares about this land not be disturbed by the vulgarity and unthinking hedonism of our young people, who are, without argument, the most feckless, the most aggressive, the most stupid in Europe? What's more, they wear their ignorance as a badge of honour...

These people have money, pots and pots of it, but there is no spiritual dimension to their lives. They have been neutered by junk television, junk newspapers, junk food, junk beer, junk pop music, junk advertising, junk films. A kind of affluent poverty exists, in which nobody feels any thing except a permanent boredom...

MICHAEL HENDERSON
Daily Telegraph (20.8.01)

... While we enjoy our shopping spree, British industry is languishing, with factories closing, businesses shrinking and staff losing their jobs...

Nonetheless, New Labour in government — just like Old Labour and the Conservatives in their time — keeps on blowing its own trumpet about Britain's economic success story...

... It is just this kind of politically motivated triumphalism, coupled with the 'feel-good' factor from our spending spree, which prevents us as a nation from confronting the truth. And that is that Britain is now more like a Third World country than an advanced Western industrial state...

Yet we were the first nation in the world to industrialise ourselves. We were once renowned for our restless energy and our zest for dynamic change.

Our industries once set the standard and 'Made in Britain' was the guarantee of quality. And we were the richest of all the peoples on Earth.

Look at us today. Nearly 80 per cent of our imports take the form of industrial or consumer goods which we could be designing and making for ourselves — such as dishwashers, televisions, computers and mobile phones...

Go on a cruise — except in the ageing QE2 — and the vast liner will have been built in Finland, France or Germany.

CORRELLI BARNETT
Daily Mail (8.9.01)

The modern investment bank is a highly focused deal machine, unencumbered by any sense of fiduciary duty towards conserving the nation's assets. City banks today employ entire divisions whose sole purpose is to dream up deal ideas to sell to industry. In turn, industry has been obliged to create entire departments simply to listen to these ideas.

SIMON NIXON
The Spectator (15.9.01)

Those who call people racist for flying the Union Jack in Great Britain should go to Canada to see more British flags in one street than in any town in the whole of Britain on any day.

Here is a little excerpt from a local Canadian newspaper:

In days of yore, from Britain's shore, Wolfe the dauntless hero came.

He planted firm Britannia's flag on Canada's fair domain.

Here may it wave and boost our pride, and join in love together.

The thistle, shamrock and rose entwined the maple leaf forever.

N. BURNS
Letter to Daily Post, Liverpool

In an age in which the oaf, the moron and the pansy are role-models for our young people, IAN BUCKLEY asks...

Where are our heroes?

I WAS lucky enough to have had my formative years just before the present phase of the demolition of Britain got underway. Our old cultural ethos was beginning to crumble and curl around the edges, but was still reasonably intact at that time. Thus it was that my early heroes were explorers, mountaineers or aviators. I devoured books like Heinrich Harrer's *Seven Years in Tibet* and Thor Heyerdahl's books on the Kon-Tiki and Ra expeditions, without once realising that the authors of these books would be one day be classed as politically incorrect.

Herr Harrer is so politically incorrect that he caused a Hollywood studio to spend considerable sums of money re-making large parts of the entire film of *Seven Years in Tibet*. The studio bosses had decreed that the film had to present him as a less pleasant character. You see, Harrer had been a member of the Waffen SS, and to have him portrayed as a decent fellow just wouldn't do!

Heyerdahl too is also considered a 'dangerous' author, due to his theories about ancient voyages which suggest that Nordics founded the ancient Peruvian civilisation. The merest idea that civilisation might have been diffused outwards by tall, blond northern Europeans is now deeply taboo.

So it would seem that heroism and courage are in some sense 'suspect' to the liberal and globalist establishment. The propaganda of the victors has become the history of the vanquished, and looking around us today who can doubt that Britain is now numbered among the vanquished? Such an unheroic state of mind, which exults ugliness, defeat and commercialism, while most probably inculcated just after World War II, didn't become generally accepted until around 30 years later.

COURAGEOUS

Even in the 1960s, there were a few courageous, larger-than-life figures around, such as Donald Campbell, in the news again recently due to the recovery of his *Bluebird* racing boat from Lake Coniston in the Lake

District. To my mind, even a short film clip of Donald Campbell is like a breath of fresh air, his confident, affable and courageous manner contrasting dramatically with the pitiful public figures of today.

Whatever did we do to deserve the detritus of smoothie shirt-lifters, blatant inadequates and unconvicted crooks which has been visited upon on us, masquerading in the guise of a 'government'? Until one has a yardstick, a glimpse of how it once was, it's difficult to comprehend how much has been lost in how short a time. Maybe it lessens the pain to forget.

Donald Campbell could have had an easy career in business, but chose instead to use his courage and engineering skill to win the land and water record for Britain. As he was a quiet but intensely patriotic man, one wonders what he would have made of a country which only barely has a shrunk motor industry intact.

All of this would be meaningless to our pitifully unheroic PM. Not a thought enters that immaculately coiffeured barnet except maybe to devise new underhand methods of selling off the substance of our nation to 'entrepreneurs'. Does such a grotesque and effete fake have anything in common with real men like Donald Campbell or Charles Lindbergh?

That well-oiled lie machine that goes under the moniker of the BBC tries to deceive us as to the actual state of our country, but its domestic news coverage can't



DONALD CAMPBELL

Hero and patriot, he was the antithesis of everything understood by 'political correctness'.

hide all reality. Local news bulletins in particular seem to have become a layered salami of vicious crime sliced up with sections of sport and utter stupidity. Once young lads had someone like Thor Heyerdahl as a role model to look up to: now it's an overpaid footballer who 'gobs' all

over the pitch. Lads, forget Edmund Hillary, and think instead of the foul-mouthed and drug-taking pop star! Is it any wonder that casual violence, vandalism and crime are omnipresent? There is no mystery about this at all.

The present system is too poisoned to continue; it has almost given up. Education and the health service are descending into chaos. But what can one expect when teachers have to cope with brats who have been behaviourally trained by MTV, or when nurses have to bear the brunt of the effects of a violent, nihilistic booze-and-drugs 'culture'?

As I write these words, Cardinal O'Connor of Westminster — who should know — has just explicitly stated that Christianity in Britain has been "vanquished", thus fulfilling the prophecy made by philosopher Bernard Lazare 107 years ago. Well, Christianity may be on the way out, but not all of us are content to have our ideals

undermined and have an essentially bogus and self-destructive conception of the world imposed upon us.

Look at the New World Order — it's falling apart even before it's fully arrived! Some of us do not wish to be hamburger-eating conformists, snatching a fear-filled existence in a crime-ridden dump of country. We don't like the way mutual trust has been eroded both by a divided society and the cult of greed. We cringe and feel a visceral clutch in our stomachs when the supposed heir of Palmerston goes off on some grovelling errand to the US, or offers huge grants to some Korean capitalist to set up a factory in the industrial desert he and his globalist partners in crime have created. But we few have a little of the heroic spirit left — the spirit that animated Drake, Robert Scott or Donald Campbell — and are absolutely determined to reverse the tide of decadence.

THE EUROPEAN CONFEDERATION

More from JOHN BEAN

IN one and a half pages I could only outline my view of a European Confederation of sovereign states (such as the South went to war for in the American Civil War) that could eventually lead to a White World Confederation. Therefore, I accept the point made in your critique that as Anglo-Celts at present make up 75 per cent of Australia's population, outnumber the Maoris by six to one in New Zealand and are the largest ethnic group in Canada this alone does not render the old White Dominions third force concept as 'non-viable'. Over the past ten years I have visited all three countries and have found that there is an attitude of mind amongst those of British stock, as well as many of Continental European origin, that is quite proud of its nation's British heritage but is extremely wary of losing part of its independence under a new (white) Commonwealth 'partnership'. This has to be overcome to make the partnership 'viable'.

In *The Eleventh Hour*, Chapter 17 entitled 'The Imperial Imperative', you rightly emphasise on page 412: "... the people of the old Dominions, having long ago achieved effective sovereignty over their own affairs... have in more recent times surrendered much of this sovereignty by their subservience to international institutions and alien ideologies, as we have in Britain." Nevertheless, pragmatism demands that we recognise this caution among fellow racial nationalists in the old Dominions over losing any so-called 'independence' to Britain. This is not helped if we give the impression that Australia and, to a lesser extent, Canada, are looked upon as some sort of vast mineral quarry for the benefit of the UK. An emphasis on minerals from Australia

appears on pages 414 and 419 and elsewhere in Chapter 17 of the book.

There is no difference between us in our belief in the necessity of strengthening a partnership among the nations of the old White Commonwealth. I believe that it makes this concept more viable if we first achieve a Confederation of sovereign European states, and then ask the old Dominions, with their overwhelming British and other European stock, to join us. As you rightly say in your critique, a white counter-revolution is first required in all countries, and I would add that we must work together to achieve this.

In regard to your concern over my belief that non-European immigration should be limited to students who would return home and 'genuine' asylum-seekers, I would reply that as you have read my memoirs (*Many Shades of Black*), I thought I had made it clear that a BNP Government would decide who could come in as a student and who would qualify as a 'genuine' asylum-seeker.

With the present shortage of teachers the number of such students would be nil, for the reasons you give. But we cannot cast this in stone as a fixed policy. After all, we both believe that racial nationalism does not mean hostility to other races and cultures. If we are in a position to help them after we have looked after the needs of our own people, we should do so. As for genuine asylum-seekers, again we must leave this open. Imagine the scenario whereby a BNP Government was in power but the Marxist-inspired forces of multi-racialism that rule in France or Germany or Holland (as they do today in Britain) decided to persecute some outspoken French, German or Dutch nationalist. Should we not give him (or her) asylum?

WHAT A HOWLER!

Most readers, we are sure, will have spotted the appalling typo on the front page of last month's issue. After rather smugly telling everyone that our error rate should be greatly reduced now that we have obtained help in proof-reading services, we went and spelled the word 'Government' as 'Goverment'. We are still wiping off the egg!

The word in question seems to be one which can bring on a momentary flash of dyslexia in some people, including this editor. With the middle 'n' missing, it does not, at a glance, look wrong.

Our proof-readers have asked us to assure readers that they did not miss the error as it was never shown to them. This is actually correct. Because of the very few words on the front page, our editor trusted himself to spot errors — in the event wrongly!

We hope very much that our readers — who have been very polite about the matter — will forgive this grave lapse in concentration and standards. Of course, it will not happen again. Or will it?

Worth quoting

"Members and front organisations must continually embarrass, discredit and degrade our critics. When obstructionists become too irritating, label them as fascist or nazi or anti-semitic. Constantly associate those who oppose us with those names that already have a bad smell. The association will, after enough repetition, become 'fact' in the public mind."

Central Committee of the Communist Party, 1943, in an instruction sent to Communists around the world.

SINCE the late nineteen-fifties we have had, every three or four years, yet another definitive history of the period when the NSDAP held power in Germany. Hasn't everything necessary been said? Apparently not. We are told that Mr. Burleigh's blockbuster breaks new ground and "...analyses Nazism as a messianic faith or secular religion in which Hitler was chosen as a saviour by the Germans rather than being a self-appointed dictator." The book has been hailed as "a work of authentic historical genius," and has won the author the £30,000 Samuel Johnson Prize, the country's richest award for non-fiction. Daniel Johnson, writing in the *Daily Telegraph*, tells us that "If I had to recommend one book on the Third Reich, this would be it." Lavish praise indeed, but Mr. Johnson will remain singularly ill-informed on the subject until he taps other, more reliable, sources.

Tittle-tattle is not history

Aside from its content, we cannot, even going by the abysmal standards which obtain today, judge this work to be either lucid or well written. As for it being a history, the reader in search of some insight into the period is doomed to be disappointed. For the most part, all we get from Mr. Burleigh is a seemingly endless and excessively tedious succession of anecdotes many of which are of doubtful provenance. The broad sweep of history is sacrificed to tales about what this or that SA man, Gauleiter or Gestapo agent did or failed to do, though rarely do we get any sort of credible confirmation of the alleged transgressions. Now it is not our purpose to whitewash all that happened in Germany between 1933 and 1945, even if, when perpetually assailed with an avalanche of garbage about racial equality and multi-culturalism in today's Britain, we sometimes find it difficult not to experience a measure of affinity with those who would surely have stood for none of it. Indeed we have long held the view that the insidious one-world rubbish propounded by western 'liberal' chatterboxes, has served to spawn more neo-Nazis than all the right wing demagogues who ever mounted a platform put together.

Deliberately misleading or just slipshod research?

What we have here is not the objective and reasoned survey which would usefully serve the student, but a disjointed amalgam of fact and fiction where it would seem that someone like that intrepid hunter of octogenarian 'war criminals', Mr. Simon Wiesenthal, has set the parameters and then invited Mr. Burleigh to write the book. In this context it is often the omissions which tell us more about the author and his

DEFINITELY NOT A BEST BUY

The Third Reich: a New History
(Michael Burleigh). Pan. £40.00

**Reviewed by
COLIN VERNON**

purpose than the content. For example, the *Basic Programme of the National Socialist German Workers' Party*, published in Munich on 24th February 1920, is a crucial document and, since it runs to a mere 25 clauses, is easily reproduced in full. But in this 'authentic' history it does not get a mention. Yet it seems to us that any workmanlike historian would discuss the extent to which that programme was adhered to and implemented. We are told that Adolf Hitler served in the Great War, but not that he received the Iron Cross for bravery. While we appreciate that this does not make him a saint, it seems to be a necessary piece of information if we are to assess correctly the nature of the man. Later on, Burleigh asserts that a few salvoes from the Bavarian State Police dispersed the protesting nation-


alist marchers near the Feldherrnhalle, Munich, on 9th November 1923 and that "... a lightly wounded Hitler slunk away." Contrast this with John Toland's well-researched account, in which Graf, the bodyguard, hit by half a dozen bullets, clutches at, and dislocates, his leader's left arm as he falls, whereupon Hitler, in agonizing pain, is dragged to the pavement by comrades. Then, moving away, they come across a small boy lying by the curbside and bleeding profusely. The injured Hitler wants to pick him up and carry him to safety but an SA medical officer finds a relative to take the youngster home.

The epitome of evil?

Elsewhere we read that many parents dreaded their children's exposure to paedophiles and perverts among the older Hitler Youth leaders. Not only does Burleigh fail to come up with a single instance of abuse, but he carelessly forgets that the horrors to which he refers happened, and still happen, all over the world when those in positions of trust – deviant scoutmasters, priests, sports coaches and carers, for instance – take advantage of the opportunities that their callings present. But this is typical of the whole book. Everywhere we are given the black side of what happened in Germany. Whether it is welfare, charity work, law reform, scientific experiments, or anything else, the Nazis either always get it wrong, or else misuse their power for their own nefarious ends. How lucky are we to live in a western democracy where politicians neither make mistakes nor promote their own causes! Yet on walkabouts Hitler could bank on getting a rapturous, spontaneous acclamation from ordinary folk – so very different from the stage-managed set pieces which the media use to illustrate the Nazi era.

Not a balanced account

For those who are interested in statistics, we noted that chapters wholly devoted to the National Socialist Party's relationship with, and treatment of, the Jews, amount to 20 per cent of the entire text. Thus if you add in the many additional references thereto in other parts of the book it seems that at least one quarter of the whole focuses on just this one topic, which is somewhat out of proportion when there is so much else worthy of our interest. The rather silly stylized caricature of a teutonic warrior führer (shown here) advertises the paperback issue of the book and is, we feel, wholly in keeping with the content. We cannot suppose that Sam Johnson would be overmuch flattered to learn that this inadequate, inaccurate and mischievous work had been associated with his famous name.



ADVERT FOR THE BOOK

Characteristically, this picture is taken from a cartoon of Hitler used by his political opponents in Germany shortly before he came to power. The publishers, apparently, couldn't find anything better.

WHERE OUR TAX MONEY IS GOING

Outreach worker – Refugee Women's Resource project – Asylum Aid.

Asylum Aid is an independent agency providing free advice, representation and support to refugees. This role is to assist and train refugee community groups and women's groups in developing services for women

Salary £22,440.

Afro-Caribbean counsellor, the Mayer centre (Counselling for Women)

We are seeking to appoint a female Afro-Caribbean psycho-dynamic counsellor to provide time-limited counselling to Afro-Caribbean mothers.

Salary not stated.

Caseworkers for Refugee Action, Liverpool and Southampton

Refugee Action provides refugees with access to services and support and works with community groups to address their long-term needs. Caseworkers provide information and support.

Salary up to £21,702.

Part-time lesbian Advocate/Caseworker, lesbian and gay employment rights.

To give advice, information and representation on employment issues.

Salary £24,347 pro rata.

Two Black/Ethnic minority counsellors for Community Counselling Service

The service will be generic and respond to the diverse cultural needs of the local communities.

Salary up to £20,928

North Staffordshire Racial Equality Council Regeneration Manager

We need a self-motivated individual with experience of regeneration projects, for black and ethnic minority communities.

Salary £27,003.

Community hypertension prevention co-ordinator, Merton, Sutton and Wandsworth NHS Health Authority

To work with the African Caribbean community to raise awareness of hypertension and promote prevention measures.

Salary £17,044.

Race Into Work Project Co-ordinator

To increase the access of Black and Minority Ethnic young people to education, training and employment.

Salary £19,770.

Female Asian Advice Worker (Bengali-speaking), Thornhill Neighbourhood Project, Islington, North London

You will conduct outreach work with isolated women, older people, young mothers and local community groups.

Salary £21,657.

In a special feature in the Daily Mail on the 6th September some examples were given of utterly useless jobs being advertised by local authorities and paid for out of taxpayers' money. Here are just a few of them.

And some other stories...

A LIBYAN enrolled as a student in Britain so that his wife could give birth to sextuplets on the NHS.

The man, a 40-year-old oil company executive, brought his wife here just five weeks before the premature births in Newcastle-upon-Tyne. He qualified for a visa by enrolling on two university courses.

The cost to the British taxpayer is estimated at £500,000.

* * *

KING ARTHUR, one of Britain's great national heroes, is being played by a black French actor in a new London show described as "a modern take" on the old Celtic myth.

Entitled Merlin, and opening at Riverside Studios in July, it has been described in the London Evening Standard as "such a modern production that it will faithfully reflect the multi-cultural mix of today's Britain." Hence Sir Lancelot is being played by Asian actor Selva Raslingam, Sir Gawain by Ghanaian actor Daniel Kobkina and Sir Kay by Malaysian actor Kwong Loke.

* * *

FORMER housing chief of Hackney Borough, in East London, Bernard Crofton, won his appeal to overturn charges of racial discrimination brought by Sam Yeboah, a council employee. Yeboah had been awarded £380,000 after an employment tribunal ruled that Mr. Crofton had falsely linked him to a housing benefits fraud involving West Africans working for the council. However, as

reported in the *Evening Standard*, "there is no immediate question of Mr. Yeboah repaying the £380,000."

* * *

A MAN who stabbed his son and mother-in-law with a Sikh ceremonial dagger at a house in Ealing, West London, avoided a prison sentence when a judge ordered him to do work in the community.

Surinda Sangha (44) was told by the judge, Sam Katkhuda: "By rights you should be sent to prison now for a matter of years." It was not to be, however, as the judge decided otherwise.

* * *

BUSINESSMAN John Moses claimed he was stricken by a string of ailments and confined to a wheelchair. He managed to extract from the taxpayer benefits of £70,000 over a period of five years.

Suspensions about the genuineness of these claims were aroused when Mr. Moses told insurers investigating the theft of his car that he had parked it to go jogging on his local beach in Co. Durham. Police visiting his home found no disability aids in the house, "not even a handrail on the stairs," said prosecuting counsel in the court action against him.

* * *

CORRECTION: The award given to the Nigerian for 'hurt feelings' after a colleague on holiday in South Africa had sent fellow staff- members a greetings card deemed 'racist' turned out to be

£5,000, and not £20,000, as reported in this column last month. Our figure of £20,000 had been taken from a previous newspaper report, evidently inaccurate.

* * *

SEEN on a notice board at Lancaster University: "If you must be a heterosexual, please be discreet about it."

* * *

ADVERTISEMENT placed by Rochdale Health Care (spelled as found in paper):-

"Development worker for ethnic minorities (bi-lingual smoking cessation advisor) (sic). Fixed-term contract until 31 March 2002/or secondment (subject to review). Hours: 37 per week/or two part-time workers. Salary: £18,911-£22,125 per annum (depending on experience and qualifications)."

Applicants will be responsible for... reducing tobacco use within the Asian communities of Bury and Rochdale. He/she must be able to communicate in one of the following: Urdu, Bangla, Punjabi or Pagari as well as English.

* * *

A HOMOSEXUAL police officer who was questioned over pornographic computer images of 'gay' men is to retire on a £300,000 medical pension due to stress caused by the investigation.

PC Richard Hill was suspended on full pay last January after officers found the computer images during a raid on his home in Bristol.

Hill was due to face an internal disciplinary panel over the matter but the case was later dropped after 18 months of legal wrangling.

We're being invaded!

THE extraordinary recent media coverage given to the illegal immigrant issue is to be welcomed. For all their glowing praise of the 'multicultural society' journalists are beginning to realise, like drunks staggering out of the boozer, that perhaps they have overdone it.

They suspect that things are now *really* getting out of control. The criminal nature of what is happening is being given more prominence than the 'genuine refugee' angle. Indeed, so great is the blatant criminality involved that the media are actually becoming genuinely alarmed.

The *Daily Express* screamed in a front page headline: 'Asylum: we're being invaded!'. It reported, as did other papers, that massive numbers of dispossessed foreigners, many with no documentation at all, penniless and speaking no English, had displayed openly their criminal instincts by breaking into the Eurostar terminal, storming past roadblocks, sneaking aboard trains, and even marching along the track like rag-tag armies, in effect trying to barge their way into this country, like literal barbarians of old, while photographers and CCTV cameras recorded their every move. The terminal at the Calais end was described as being stormed by "50-strong mobs" on August 10th, but this number was exceeded virtually every day right into September, requiring the story to be updated daily.

It is trespassing with menace. Trespassing, travelling with forged documents, and claiming cash benefits and property to which you are not entitled, used to be serious criminal offences. But no longer. And however much the press comment on this, and despite their breathless exposés of how the migrants manage, through one technique or another, to sneak into Britain, the true picture is seldom revealed.

The *Express* mentioned that 48,000 annually is the maximum at which the Government was hoping the asylum figure would finally stabilise. But 51,000 were received in the first six months of this year. We were told that a backlog of 37,000 'cases' had already been built up, with adjudicators barely getting through a few hundreds of cases a month.

However, population expert Dr. David Coleman suggests that 90,000 immigrants,

legal and illegal, arrive here each year. This was upped to 97,860 by the UNHCR for the year 2000 alone, and this year's figure is already higher than that. Indeed, a report from the Centre for Policy Studies said that the true number of illegal immigrants entering the country last year was more like

The asylum-seeker crisis has got completely out of hand, due to the impotence of government, says ANTONY MILNE

400,000. Britain, in short, is being invaded and has been made effectively defenceless against that invasion.

One reason why the media has suddenly given the asylum issue such prominence is its growing Euro-scepticism. What riles the

damn all to stop the illegal entrants coming back time and time again to try their luck at the Eurostar terminal. No punishment or sanctions are applied against these asylum fraudsters, nor is any attempt made to arrest the criminal syndicates that smuggled them to Calais in the first place.

The press has attacked the process of 'asylum shopping', where immigrants pick and choose to which countries they want to go – almost inevitably Britain. The Mediterranean countries, ironically the first ports of call for most of the migrants making their way northward across Europe, accepted the fewest migrants.

STATEMENT OF INTENT

The *Times* quoted the actual broken English of a Kurdish 'asylum-seeker' in which he declared his greedy, thieving intent: "Go England. France no good. Money no. House no. Work no. England, international, good."

In a nutshell he says that Britain is an



THIS IS GLASGOW!

Hundreds of asylum-seekers are seen here demonstrating in George Square, in the centre of the city. Having only recently arrived, they are already demanding their 'rights'. Apparently, this crowd got a hot reception from some of the locals!

press is the notion that the EU is once again short-changing us British. Other countries seldom follow the letter of the law with regard to EU legislation, and this applies to asylum rules as well.

For example, the *Express* listed the other EU countries that processed their refugees faster, while allowing far fewer to stay than we do. They noted that France is doing

easy touch. It is a land that hands out free money, free accommodation, and has given up its distinctive British identity in favour of internationalism. He might as well have said: "Britain's politicians – they are as crooked as I am. They give me what I want!"

And of course he would be right. Giving refugees just what they demand is not

ethical. Governments cannot indulge in 'charitable' behaviour, which is the prerogative only of individuals. Every authoritarian régime in history has staked a claim to high moral principles. Communist governments claimed the moral high ground while imprisoning and murdering millions of innocent people. In the Middle East, countries like Iran and Afghanistan commit massive human rights abuses in the name of religion, in other words of 'morality'.

Politicians must, above all, put the security and well-being of their own people *first*, and should not be able to spend taxpayers' money without their consent. It cannot be right for them to act altruistically towards foreign nationals *on behalf* of their own people, and without their permission.

The *consequences* of what governments do must always be taken into account. British politicians must be aware that the asylum system is spreading corruption throughout much of the legal system. Vast sums are involved in legal representation of bogus asylum seekers, encouraging lawyers to appeal against deportation for the most trivial of reasons, so that the case comes back to court time and again.

The adjudication process is, in any event, a charade. Regardless of whether applications for asylum are refused or not, the overwhelming majority of claimants do not return home. Most of them simply abscond and disappear in Britain's multiracial cities.

WHITE COUNTRIES' STUPIDITY

The rich white countries of the world are vulnerable to exploitation through their own stupidity. They have made themselves attractive places in which to live. They are mostly peaceful, tolerant and stable. But as they became richer they began to dismantle their borders to make it easier for illegals to come in, just when they should have done the very opposite.

White countries started to open their doors in 1951, first a little, then gradually wider. The 1951 Geneva Convention was designed at the beginning of the Cold War to allow genuine persecuted intellectuals from the Soviet bloc to get to the West. This, perhaps, was a move with laudable intentions. But it should be borne in mind that this international law was virtually forced upon Britain and other European countries. By itself, the 1951 Act stands condemned simply because it was an early post-war example of the growing loss of sovereignty of European countries to act autonomously not only with regard to immigration but later with regard to other aspects of economic and social life.

But even this would have been acceptable if the British *politicians* had retained control of the workings of the 1951 Act as it applied to this country. Instead they gradually conceded power to civil liberties groups and judges. The former have succeeded in blocking any reforms to the Act, and the latter have widened its scope to include virtually anyone in the world who complains of "human rights abuses." One Iranian was allowed by a judge to stay in Britain because he could not "get a good education" in Iran. Practically everyone



A COMPLETELY USELESS ESTABLISHMENT

The grandiosely named 'Immigration Appellate Authority', situated near Heathrow Airport, might as well not exist for the all the good it does in stopping the flood of asylum-seekers.

living in West Africa wants to leave it for Europe, which is a paradise compared to the squalor and arbitrary violence in their own lands. Observers from the Balkans regularly report that half of the population would migrate to Western Europe given the chance.

LIBERALS' MISCALCULATION

Despite their belief in egalitarianism, in the idea that people around the world behave the same way when they feel they have been done an injustice, it is surprising that liberals don't believe that the long-suffering British people will not explode into violence if such abuses are allowed to continue to fester. The ethnic tensions in our northern towns should be a salutary warning to them and to the authorities.

Further, the gradual weakening of the moral fibre of the young British as witnessed by increasingly yobbish and undisciplined behaviour is not a good omen.

There are signs that EU officials, at last, are proposing to harmonise Europe's asylum laws to prevent 'asylum shopping'. If this means that Britain's asylum laws will be that much tougher than they are at present then that at least is something to be said for Europe. But the EU must go further. The 1951 Geneva Convention should be scrapped throughout our continent, and as soon as possible. The Schengen Agreement should also be rescinded. A complete halt to *all* immigration must take place soon, and the politicians must reclaim the powers they have lost in this important area of public policy.

FRIENDS OF SPEARHEAD

Friends of Spearhead is a support group that has been formed for the purpose of ensuring our magazine's continued survival in new circumstances in which it is going to be facing reduced sales and advertising through the British National Party network due to the decision of the party leadership to launch a new and separate magazine.

Members of *Friends of Spearhead* will be asked to pay a subscription of a minimum of £10 a month, in return for which they may, if they wish, receive five copies of each monthly issue for redistribution (by whatever means they prefer). This subscription may be paid by ordinary cheque or by direct debit, in which case details of our bank and account number will be supplied on request.

In addition, *Friends of Spearhead* will be undertaking certain other promotions, details of which will be advertised as they occur.


We hope very much that our readers and supporters, not only in the UK but around the world, will rally to help us in this new project.

Friends of Spearhead can be contacted at our usual address: PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT.

Funny goings-on in UKIP

BARRY PRESTON *speculates on the role of state security services in Britain's most prominent anti-EU party*

ON THE 26th May this year, former Tory Minister Norman Tebbit provoked no small controversy when an article under his name appeared in *The Spectator* magazine headed 'UKIP: is there a hidden agenda?'. Lord Tebbit was, of course, referring to the United Kingdom Independence Party, which received a considerable boost in the elections to the European Parliament in 1999, when under the system of proportional representation applying to those elections it managed to get three candidates elected. Since then, UKIP has experienced mixed fortunes, and fared not too well at all in the general election of June 2001.



SHADOWY FIGURE

Conrad Black (above), owner of the Telegraph group, employs Bill Jamieson and Christopher Booker, two journalists who attempted to interfere in a UKIP internal election, urging members not to vote for a candidate who believed in the globalist conspiracy theory.

What sparked the Tebbit article was a conversation the writer had had with a disgruntled former paid employee of UKIP who

confided to him that that party had been infiltrated by MI6, the government security agency.

What apparently gave rise to the UKIP man's theory was that he saw his party as having veered away from its policy of standing against sitting Europhile MPs to one of fighting seats where a sitting Tory MP — even a strongly Euro-sceptic one — with a small majority might be ousted, thus letting in Labour or the Liberal Democrats. Tebbit said that he believed there could be some substance in the theory. And he went on:-

"If I am right, UKIP's intervention... will be immensely damaging to the Tories, and will give their Europhiles the platform to overturn a Euro-sceptic leadership... UKIP will have played into the hands of Blair and Brussels. A badly battered Tory Party plunged into a leadership crisis would offer Blair the perfect opportunity to bounce Britain into the Euro before the sceptics could be rallied to organise a No campaign."

NO DENIAL

Tebbit, the bit now between his teeth, then made some enquiries of his own, tackling two men whom his UKIP contact had claimed were the infiltrators. As he related:-

"I challenged one directly: 'Are you or have you ever been a member of [that agency]?' Denial came there none, only an angry retort that I should be ashamed of myself for asking such a question."

"The agency does not answer questions of that sort either — and quite right too. Its practice is firmly but non-attributably to deny that it would ever sanction an agent on the active list to intervene in the affairs of a British political party... However, I am perfectly sure that the individuals had been active agents, though both would claim to have retired some years ago, well before joining UKIP."

Tebbit then went on to reflect on the wider question of whether an organisation like UKIP, standing against a policy consensual to the entire globalist establishment, might come to be deemed 'subversive' and thus an appropriate target for surveillance by the state security services:-

"Since Attlee's Labour Government helped to create NATO, all three major parties have agreed that membership of that alliance is in the British national interest. Through the 1950s, 1960s and 1970s those opposing membership were regarded as certainly misguided and possibly subversive."

"It is possible to draw a parallel with the present agreement of the three major parties that Britain should remain within the European Union — in, of course, our national interest. A party whose sole raison d'être is British withdrawal might be regarded as subversive. Already New Labour equates patriotism with membership and describes calls for withdrawal as 'unpatriotic'."

So have government security services (possibly just MI6, possibly others as well) infiltrated the United Kingdom Independence Party and attempted from that position to influence its policies? Lord Tebbit's answer seemed to amount to a possible and qualified Yes. This writer's view is much more clear-cut. Knowing the nature of 'our' present security services — services wholly dedicated to carrying out the agenda of the present [globalist] ruling power, quite irrespective of whether real British national interests are thereby protected — it would seem inconceivable to me that penetration of, and surveillance over, organisations like UKIP would not be one of its most basic functions. And that applies not only to UKIP. Let us recall that former MI5 Director Stella Rimington, in a Dimpleby Lecture delivered on BBC1 in June 1994, spoke of her service's focus having changed from "communist groups who wanted to undermine or overthrow parliamentary democracy to the extreme Right who exploited racial hatred and xenophobia." One wonders who Mrs. Rimington had in mind when she uttered those words!

But, staying for the moment with UKIP, let us delve a little further. From its inception, this party has had a strange history. It has been convulsed by a number of internal upheavals but has always seemed to come out of them, bolstered invariably by considerable infusions of money. During the year 2000 it suffered a bout of seemingly painful infighting but recovered sufficiently to be able to field over 300 candidates in this year's general election.

POWER STRUGGLES

About four years ago, the founder of UKIP, Alan Sked, was ousted as leader in an internal revolt. He was replaced by Michael Holmes, a millionaire whose chief credential seemed to be the power of his money, but the main power was believed to lie in the hands of Nigel Farage, a City metals trader who is also a very eloquent platform speaker. In due course, Holmes and Farage rowed, and the former in turn was ousted. There then followed an internal election in which no less than *nine* candidates put their names forward, but Farage strangely was not among them.

A friend of mine who has a window on UKIP from contacts he has in that party says that probably the best among the candidates — and certainly the most politically informed — was Rodney Atkinson, a publisher from Northumberland. Atkinson, while he would be seen as something of a liberal in *Spearhead* circles, had created some waves within UKIP by being the only leading spokesman in that party ever to talk of 'conspiracy' — specifically to say that the moves to dragoon Britain into Europe should be seen as part of a wider globalist conspiracy directed towards world government. Far from this winning him approval within UKIP, it made

him a number of formidable enemies.

An extraordinary campaign was then mounted within the party to ensure that Atkinson would not be elected as leader. It involved Bill Jamieson, financial editor of the *Sunday Telegraph*, and Christopher Booker, who has a regular weekly column in that same paper — a paper which most will know is owned by globalist insider, Bilderberger and Zionist Conrad Black.

Jamieson and Booker wrote a joint letter to all UKIP members urging them not to vote for Atkinson. The membership list made available to Jamieson and Booker for this purpose was widely believed within the party to have been provided by Nigel Farage. The main thrust of Jamieson's and Booker's opposition to Atkinson was his belief in 'conspiracy theories'.

The conduct of the ballot was unsatisfactory to a number of members, and some believed it to have been rigged. One member known to my friend never received a paper until she complained on that account. Another received two papers. Atkinson was beaten by a narrow margin. He was said to be very dissatisfied with the way the ballot occurred. All this may, of course, have been no more than incompetence in the first case and sour grapes on the part of Atkinson in the second. We will probably never know.

A number of questions emerge from all this. Messrs. Jamieson and Booker are busy journalists, yet they found the time to wage this campaign. The copying and posting of the letters must have cost some thousands. Who paid? And why was Mr. Atkinson's belief in 'conspiracy theories' considered such a heresy as to make it a grave emergency to stop him being elected UKIP leader?

The man eventually to be elected leader was Jeffrey Titford, an undertaker from Essex. Those 'in the know' within UKIP, however, believe the real power in the party to be Nigel Farage. Farage, incidentally, defected to UKIP from the Tory Party at the time of Maastricht.

'RACISTS' NOT WANTED

Another feature of UKIP is the extraordinary lengths to which the party goes to keep out what it terms 'racists' and other 'political extremists'. At the bottom of its leaflets it states that it is a 'democratic' and 'non-racist' party. It sometimes fields members of ethnic minorities as election candidates. Most interestingly, following the recent rumpus in the Tory Party over the participation of Edgar Griffin, father of

BNP leader Nick Griffin, in Ian Duncan Smith's leadership campaign, and a comment in one newspaper that in the event of his being expelled by the Tories he may go over to UKIP, Farage wrote a letter in one paper telling him (Griffin) not to bother to apply as he would most certainly be rejected.

The United Kingdom Independence Party has in fact served as a receptacle for a great many ordinary patriotic folk who seek to fight the treason rampant in Westminster while at the same time preserving something of an element of 'respectability'. Whatever the declarations of the party leaders to the contrary, there are probably a good many people within its ranks — perhaps a majority — who harbour closet 'racist' sentiments. Yet UKIP refuses even to discuss the issues of race and immigration, let alone address them in any way through its policies. In fact, its headlong flight from these issues is even more cowardly than that of some Tories.

It is also noticeable that UKIP, particularly in the run-up to the Euro Elections in 1999, enjoyed extremely generous coverage in some of the mainstream newspapers, including the *Daily Mail* and — surprise, surprise! — the *Daily* and *Sunday Telegraph*. The message in the reports it received was: "If you want to bring Britain out of the EU, support the UK Independence Party." (not any others, it will be noted!).

UKIP, despite its good showing in the 1999 Euro Elections, when the focus was on Europe, performed poorly in the local government elections the following year and again in the general election of 2001. In both these contests it was bettered by some long way by the British National Party. It might then be supposed that, if only for its own political advantage, it would take up some position on race, immigration and the asylum-seekers, albeit a mild one, that would enable it to head off the BNP and steal some of the latter's votes. Yet it steadfastly declines to do so. Why?

It is at this point that we ought to take a step or two back from these details and examine the broader picture, the larger panorama of British politics as it unfolds as the 21st century gets under way.

British politics in the first years of the 21st century are dominated, as they were in the last half of the previous one, by an inner liberal establishment, with its agenda of internationalism, globalism, multi-racialism and One World. To this establishment, which controls the world of finance and the entire mainstream mass

media, the political parties of this and most other countries are mere tools — devices to preoccupy, mislead and divide the masses of the electorates as the real establishment proceeds with its agenda. This is the reality that exists behind the facade of so-called 'democracy'.

Overseas, notably in France, Austria, Italy and Belgium, popular nationalist parties have emerged in recent times to challenge the parties of globalism. The forces of globalism in Britain have long been apprehensive that this may happen here.

It has not happened here up to now because, on the admission occasionally of liberal-globalist writers in the media, the Conservative Party has so far served as a safety valve for all the populist and nationalist elements that might otherwise have supported a party of radical nationalist opposition, as in parts of Europe.

TORIES DYING

But now there is an acute danger — and no-one can see it better than those who preside over the liberal-globalist establishment and whose business it is to perceive political trends. The Conservative Party is dying. Its average age today is 65. It is losing members fast through death and through disillusionment. At this year's election it ceded victory to Labour because it was perceived as weak, ineffectual, leaderless and having nothing to offer. The recent victory of Iain Duncan Smith in the leadership contest has changed nothing in this regard. The party looks forward to yet more infighting and yet further self-destruction.

In such a situation, parties of the patriotic and radical right, having been successfully margin-alised in years past, assume a new and much more real threat. This means, very simply, that from the standpoint of the liberal-globalist establishment it is all the more essential that such parties be neutralised.

In other words, if some new party is to emerge to take the place of the Tories as the main voice of right-wing opposition, it becomes essential that, like the other parties, it is one controlled by the globalists and thus rendered ineffective as a true vehicle for British patriots and nationalists.

I believe that it is against this developing scenario that we must view what has been going on in the United Kingdom Independence Party.

And we should look closely at what happens in any other parties that the establishment might fear as having the potential to challenge it.

STOP PRESS!

Were terrorist attacks in US known about in advance?

WERE last month's terrorist attacks in New York and Washington known about in advance but — as in the case of Pearl Harbour — no precautions taken to prevent them?

In the *South African Citizen* newspaper, dated the 24th July 2001 — seven weeks before the attacks, an extraordinary report appeared. It was headed "Israel is preparing for war." It went on to say that: "Israel is preparing for war against the Arab states." And it quoted the Palestinian Ambassador to South Africa, Salman El Herfi, as saying: "Prime Minister Sharon is preparing for a

war." He said that the Israeli Defence Force was opening recruitment bureaux in Johannesburg, Frankfurt, Bombay, Bangkok, London, Paris, Amsterdam, Los Angeles and New York to ensure that Israelis abroad could be brought home to serve "in the event of a real emergency situation." Said the Ambassador: "For what other reason is he opening offices? He is threatening Palestine. He wants to launch a war against the Arab states and is preparing Israelis everywhere."

The Israeli Embassy in South Africa, when asked about the recruiting offices,

refused to comment, as also did Jewish organisations in the country.

So, did the Israelis know something that the rest of us did not know? And if they knew about the planned attacks, did they alert the US Government? This leads to an even more controversial question: Did the Israelis — perhaps their secret service the Mossad — decide that such an outrage in the United States would be to their advantage?

If these be thought fantastic theories, how is the news of the Israeli mobilisation in July to be explained?

FEW historic events, however devastating their immediate local impact, trigger reverberations likely to have permanent or at least long-term effects on the climate of national and international politics. But the catastrophic terrorist attacks on the Pentagon and World Trade Centre in the United States are certainly two such events. Their impact on the American national psyche has been compared to that of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbour in certain respects, though they far exceed that event in the scale of casualties. Sober reflection reveals the common features of these two events:-

- The attacks were disastrously sudden (though something like them had often been mooted as distinct possibilities by close observers of recent history; and Hollywood scenarios have frequently exploited this theme).

- The perpetrators were of different race and religion, and fanatical enemies of the USA.

- There was government incompetence and great public complacency regarding the vulnerability of American territory to terrorist attack from geographically distant countries.

- The recent events, like Pearl Harbour, triggered a radical reappraisal of defence measures and international relations, and demanded a response capable of destroying the enemy at source and deterring similar attacks in the future.

It remains to be seen how appropriate and effective the American response will be. On this occasion, there can be no all-out offensive against a very clearly identified aggressor country. A somewhat protracted and largely covert campaign against certain terrorist groups in several unfriendly countries seems more likely, perhaps following an initial high profile strike to take the edge off public anger. But even before the dust has settled on this disaster, we hear the world's wimps saying there can be no assured defence against determined terrorism. Of course there can be! It is summed up in the military maxim that attack is the best defence against potential aggressors. Already it seems that Muslim fanatics, implacable enemies of all other faiths and of America in particular, planned and perpetrated this outrage. They are engaged

in a perpetual *jihad* (holy war) against 'infidel' people and countries, and claim scriptural assurance of immediate transportation to Paradise if they die in that conflict. And this always gives them the edge on western politicians fearfully reluctant to engage in any action which might result in the televised arrival of body-bags at their airports.

We have witnessed our own

'infidel' British people – while the courts are busily persecuting ordinary Britons for drawing attention to such things and alerting the British public to the inevitable consequences of government multi-racism.

We keep hearing politicians describe the attack on New York and the Pentagon as an act of war 'against democracy'. But this is not a matter of

People will be more aware that the 'multi-racial society' is not only an unattainable fantasy but also a very insecure basis for national survival. After all, could we have fought the Nazis effectively in World War II with a huge German population in our midst? And would resistance to Soviet Communism have been helped by admitting hundreds of thousands of Russians to this country?

The Enemy Within

The West, with its fixation on liberalism and multi-culturalism, is hopelessly ill-equipped to deal with the terrorist threat, says FRANK KIMBAL JOHNSON

Government's appalling cowardice and incompetence in the face of IRA terror. We have heard repeated claims that there is "no military solution to the Irish Problem," and that a "negotiated settlement" is the only way forward. While despatching British troops to policing and rebel-disarming roles in the Balkans and Africa, this Government has released hundreds of IRA terrorists from prison and promoted some of them to government office without a single tangible concession in return. And now we see and hear the Prime Minister posturing before the mass media as "shoulder to shoulder with America in the war against terrorism"! If there has ever been a more outrageous example of political hypocrisy, we have yet to hear of it.

IRA link

It is common knowledge that the IRA is closely linked with international terrorism, and has been partially funded and armed by Islamic extremists; that it thrives on appeasement, though it could certainly be extinguished by a resolute government. Meanwhile, we have also seen our country flooded with aliens, most of them spiritual brethren if not active supporters of militant Islam. Untroubled by the Crown Prosecution Service, rabid fundamentalists in immigrant-dominated areas of this country counsel terrorism against the

secular politics; it is the most extreme example yet of Islamic fundamentalism versus the 'infidel' Western World. Religious conviction is not decided by votes.

Of course, we recognise that many, probably most, Muslims here and elsewhere repudiate terrorism and don't deserve to be bracketed with the kind of people responsible for the recent atrocities in America. Even so, the so-called 'multi-cultural society' is a conglomerate of disparate races and religions in which the most militant factions will be a permanently disruptive and subversive influence inside the host countries. Any dynamic faith is dedicated to expanding its territory and sphere of influence – unlike the Christian Church, which has abandoned any effective opposition to traditional forms of immorality and has targeted 'racism' as the cardinal sin, thereby clearing the ground for more mosques in British towns and cities.

There is nothing in the Koran about 'negotiated settlements' or integration with the infidel; quite the reverse in fact. Islam is not just unwilling but fundamentally incapable in the way of maintaining permanent co-existence with western societies; it is doctrinally committed to replacing them.

It is repeatedly stated that after this American catastrophe the world will never be the same again. Indeed it won't!

Anatomy of a nation

A nation is its people, a unique and organic entity, not a legislated conglomerate of disparate races and religions. Faced with Islamic fundamentalism, liberal western societies are psychologically handicapped in mounting any effective defences. Having allowed their own traditions, values and institutions to be constantly undermined and disowned by renegade and decadent elements in the political and cultural hierarchies and mass media, these societies positively invite those of firmer faith and resolve to take over the moral and eventual political leadership of the world. The ideological vacuum at the heart of any country given over to promiscuous multi-racism and 'globalism' is therefore sucking in all the world's opportunists, from those simply bent on economic plunder to those with more sinister ambitions. So, however satisfying any short-term response to attacks by militant religious or political factions may be, it leaves unchanged those underlying conditions which will always give rise to similar attacks in the future. The only reliable protection against alien invasion is a population united in its resolve never to surrender its traditions, culture and homeland, whatever it takes. Our politicians, dithering in the face of external extremism, will sooner or later have to face the fact that there are fundamentalists in Britain too. And recent events at home and abroad are bringing them into the open in ever-increasing numbers.

Historians have often said that the London Blitz was a decisive factor in mobilising the nation's war effort and will to win. Let us hope that, for all its grievous impact on people's lives, this most recent atrocity will be the catalyst for a fundamental re-alignment in national and international politics that will give our children a worthwhile and secure future.

What our rulers have achieved

This is the nineteenth consecutive year in which A-level pass rates have gone up, leading one wag to forecast that by the year 2007 the number of successful young folk will actually exceed the number who sit for the exams. While it pains us to pour cold water on the achievements of some proud parents' 'pride and joy', we are thankful to that handful of academics who have had the courage to blow the whistle on the great A-level fraud. John Adamson, Fellow of Peterhouse, Cambridge, discussing the 'target' of enabling 50 per cent of 18 to 30-year-olds to have some experience of higher education, explains that this is achievable only by a huge expansion in university places and a corresponding drop in the standards required to win them. Currently, supply exceeds demand and there are some 10,000 empty places available and no takers for them.

This seems to be very wasteful, but we are assured that standards will fall further so as to correct the imbalance. A colleague of Mr. Adamson asserts that: "The stupid have never had it so good."

As to the so-called 'universities' themselves, we read that a re-named former polytechnic, once specialising in woodwork, now offers courses in 'Madonna Studies', and it is evident that this sort of nonsense is widespread. Needless to say, many of the degrees obtained are quite worthless, and we understand that things are now turning full-circle in that some employers are beginning to view experience of 'higher education' as a positive disadvantage.

P.S. We learn that Ms Jerry Hall, has embarked on a four-year course with the Open University which will include modules on music and modern art, and that the songs of ex-hubby Mick Jagger will feature in her studies. Well, that should not tax her brain too much. But what a wicked waste of public money!

Rape, physical and Mental

At what is amusingly described as a 'music' festival near Reading the police had to deal with 420 offences, including 181 thefts from tents, over

THE WAY WE LIVE NOW

Some observations on contemporary society

by JUNIUS

200 cannabis seizures and 19 cases involving class A drugs. For good measure, a wretched sixteen-year-old girl, celebrating her GCSE results – at a cost of £85 a ticket! – was raped by a white man while a black stood guard outside her tent. All this in just two days! And the attraction? Well, actually just alien trash from the Manic Street Preachers, Travis and 'rap artist' Eminem.

Of course, in times gone by, young people loved to get out of the home for a few days in camp, to sing decent, wholesome songs by the camp fire after a meal, to get a good healthy night's sleep under the stars, and to then greet the miracle of a British rural dawn, with the dew in the fields, the bird song, the cockerel in the farmyard and the bleating of sheep in the meadow. Sentimental? Sloppy? We do not think so, and we believe that, for the alien trash, the writing is already on the wall. Our kids are survivors. They will survive if we help them.

Real people

A Welsh lad, eleven years old, gave his mother £14,000 from his trust fund to help her challenge the legality of culling healthy animals. He said: "I would rather have sheep in our country than a car. Life is more important than material." Yes, just now and then we come across a story that is a pleasure to read, and read again. Whatever we may say of our youth, some are OK!

Voices from the past

History does not just happen. The decisions of the present forge the shape of things to come. Nothing is inevitable. Britain might still have an Empire, and might not have been flooded with immigrants in 2001, had her rulers decided against making war in 1939. Let us then take a walk down memory lane.

In the spring of 1935,

German ex-servicemen invited the British Legion to send over a delegation, a suggestion which had the full approval of the Legion's patron, Edward, Prince of Wales. So, only a few months later, thousands of Berliners lined the streets to welcome the six representatives, who had a meeting with Chancellor Hitler and dinner with Reichsführer SS Heinrich Himmler, described by the visitors as "an unassuming man, anxious to do his best for his country." Encouraging stuff, this, given that these men had been locked in a bitter conflict only 17 years earlier.

But were things going a little too well? In November of the same year, a Rabbi, previously attached to the British Forces in France, wrote: "We Jews earnestly hope that the Legion will make it perfectly plain to the ex-soldiers of Germany that, whilst justice and liberty are denied to the Jews of their country, the Legion must strongly disapprove of this policy." However, Major Fetherston-Godley, the man in charge of the delegation, observed that "We applied common sense, and can now go to the other fellow over a glass of beer and tell him what we think without fear of the consequences. He can do the same with us." And the next year saw a reciprocal visit to Britain, when a German delegation was entertained at Buckingham Palace.

But does any amount of goodwill between ordinary blokes count for much when dark forces are working behind the scenes? *History does not just happen. The decisions of the present determine the shape of things to come.* When will our folk take control of their present and their future?

An Artful Dodger

With more than half of the Tory party members having already cast their votes in the leadership election, Mr. Iain Duncan Smith began to have

second thoughts about upholding Section 28 (The law that prohibits town halls from promoting buggery), and he also wondered whether the ban on the use of 'soft' drugs might be the subject of further debate in his party. Thus, having secured the votes of old-fashioned Tories on two issues where he was perceived to hold semi-sensible opinions, he decided to trawl for the support of those Portillistas whose votes were still up for grabs. In the devious world of today's politics, this gentleman has made an impressive claim to stardom!

The new leader will no doubt face many ways in turn to convince the British people that he has something new and vibrant to offer the nation. In fact, like all other Tories in parliament, he presents the pathetic picture of a politician in seach of his own *raison d'être*.


This other Eden

In a recent newspaper interview, Mr. Bill Morris, the black secretary general of the TGWU, argued that Britain should take more and more immigrants/ asylum-seekers and make less fuss about it. Then, asked about recent race riots around the country, he observed that "... if you are subjected to a level of social and economic deprivation to the point of hopelessness, its not very difficult to find a way of expressing your frustration."

Now Bill, for heaven's sake! We can believe that, in common with most of your predecessors in the job, you're not exactly overloaded with grey matter, but what are you trying to say? You obviously have not thought it through. Since we can reasonably assume that in your book the deprived folk you mention are not white – although in reality they could well be – you appear to be inviting millions more to come here and suffer the miseries, which you yourself have identified, of Britain's inner cities. Simple logic would indicate that this is the perfect recipe for more and more race problems.

God help us!

According to Sir John Stevens, Metropolitan Police Commissioner, prayer is the most powerful way to fight crime in London! Maybe so, but we reckon most folk pray that when they are attacked there will be a couple of armed policeman in the offing. Anyway, remember your prayer mat next time you go out!



SIR: I work in the IT division of a large government department. We employ many short-term contractors, and in the last year or so the number of foreign contractors has soared.

Recently, I was involved in the selection procedure to recruit a contractor to work on a new project. The recruitment agency we had engaged to find suitable applicants provided us with seven CVs. Only two of these applicants were British, the remainder being African or Indian.

I have no idea if this is representative of the sort of people the agency has on its books, or if the agency surmised that political correctness takes precedence in the public sector over quality of work. If it is the latter, the agency presumably deliberately offload their foreigners onto us.

The most interesting aspect of this case was that one of the Indian applicants had worked for us a few years previously. At the time he had made very little impression, his poor command of English rendering him virtually useless. I was staggered to read in his CV the claim that he had performed complicated technical support work for us – which I know for certain he had not. Presumably, he never envisaged that the agency would send his CV back to us, or that there would be anyone still around who remembered him.

I am glad to say that by pointing out his mendacious claims to my colleagues in the selection process (who prior to this had considered him the best applicant), I managed to get him disqualified. The vacancy was then given to a British applicant.

This has left me wondering how many foreign 'professionals' employed in this country are what they claim to be.

Incidentally, the computing press is full of letters from British contractors bemoaning the fact that they cannot find work
NAME AND ADDRESS SUPPLIED

SIR: Last week someone smashed my car window and stole my stereo system. This happened at 3 o'clock in the morning.

I reported the matter to the police, who did not have the 'resources' to visit, even though I suggested that fingerprints on the car would very likely match those of the man living two doors away from me. The response from the police officer was: "Lovely idea but it would infringe his human rights!"

On the same day I was talking to a neighbour. She told me that on the previous day her little girl (aged seven) was playing in a group of children one of whom

was Turkish. The latter called the girl an 'Irish bitch'. She replied by calling him a 'Turkish idiot'. He ran off to Mum, and within ten minutes four policemen arrived! The Irish mother was accused of being a 'racist' by the police. Her response left little to the imagination!

While I was being told all this, along came the Turkish mother. A few heated words were exchanged, culminating in my neighbour suggesting that if she didn't like it here she could f... off back to Turkey! The neighbour went indoors and, sure enough, within a few minutes the police arrived again! Of course, I asked them if they had come to investigate my robbery!

I later wrote to the local chief of police congratulating him on getting his priorities right. Surprise, surprise! I have yet to get a reply.

ANDREW KIMBER
Sutton, Surrey

SIR: I was interested to read the report last month about opposition to the BNP in Burnley. It outlined the collaboration between the TUC and the Anti-Nazi League (ANL). Well, I can confirm that in Stoke-on-Trent the North Staffordshire TUC president is also the organiser for a variety of 'anti-fascist' groupings. In addition, he is employed by the Citizens' Advice Bureau assisting 'refugees', and the local media regularly seek his wisdom on political matters.

During the elections, when the postmen's CWU representative sought advice from his superior on where the law stood concerning the posting of BNP leaflets, he was told to advise his members not to touch 'nazi' literature.

Now this man's latest initiative has been to join forces with a local MP, the City Council leader, Asian rioters and others to pursue a ban on BNP posters – on the grounds that if our party ever gets into power it will "abolish democracy"!

Ten years before I became a known candidate for the BNP, I attended a communist front meeting at the local town hall and witnessed this man publicly call for violence against so-called 'fascist scum'. Some democrat!

How has this man attained his present positions?

STEVEN BATKIN
Stoke-on-Trent, Staffs.

SIR: The letter in your August issue by Michael Ingrams drawing attention to the lop-sided reporting of the BBC's Today gang recalled a half-forgotten incident. A white resident of Bradford was asked by the BBC interviewer to what he attributed the riots there. His reply shot succinctly to the heart of the matter. "The whites have had enough," he said.

The interview was then terminated with the speed of light lest any more truth escape through the ether to expose the BBC's perfidy. It has not, as far as I am aware, been repeated.

N.G. CHARNLEY
Blackpool, Lancs.

SIR: I get rather annoyed at continually seeing the phrase 'multi-cultural experiment' in our literature. Multi-culturalism is not an experiment; it is a deliberate marxist race-mixing conspiracy. Let's say so. Let's let them know that we know. It assists in conquering our enemies to identify them and expose them.

ALAN J. DICKERSON
Great Shelford, Cambridge

SIR: On reading a copy of *Spearhead* that you recently sent me, I noticed with great sadness mention of the fact, in your video advertisement for the 1998 BNP Annual Rally, that Dave Bruce had died of a heart attack shortly after the rally.

I had to put pen to paper, as I had known Dave when I was a member of his local branch, the Enfield & Herts. branch. I always remember him as having a great sense of humour and being dedicated to the party's cause. He was also an all-round very nice bloke.

I am sure he is much missed.
A. GODDARD
Welwyn Garden City, Herts.

SIR: I find something utterly pathetic in the pleas by our Government to the French to shut down their refugee centre at Sangatte.

How the French manage this matter is their business. We should not be relying on them or any other foreigners to help us in our problems with refugees; the solution lies in our own hands. We should simply call a total halt to the refugees (real or just bogus) coming into this country, let them know that it's a waste of time their coming here because they won't be let in, and make sure that they understand our message by chucking in jail for a few months or longer anyone who tries to get in a second time after once being rejected.

If we took these elementary measures, there wouldn't be any problem with the French. Knowing that Britain was a closed door, the refugees wouldn't be descending on Calais or any of their other ports, and they (the French) wouldn't therefore have any need for such centres.

G.A. WOODLEY
Manchester

SIR: I see the Government is offering £36 million to the Zimbabwe mobsters if they agree to call off their attacks on white farms. The idea seems to be that this will enable them to buy farms of their own quite legally.

This sounds very much like telling a burglar not to bother raiding any more homes, as the Government will provide the money to buy the loot they were previously in the habit of stealing!

Blair & Co. should know anyway that it's a complete waste of valuable resources assisting Africans to buy farms. They haven't the slightest idea of the rudiments of farming anyway. Within a short time any such farms as they acquire will become mere wasteland
P. DONALDSON
London S.W.15

PUBLICITY MATERIAL

Except where otherwise stated, the items listed below should be ordered from PO Box 287, Waltham Cross, Herts. EN8 8ZU. Cheques/POs made payable to 'Freedom'.

B.N.P. PUBLICATIONS

BNP Activists' Handbook

This handbook is a comprehensive instruction course in all the essential techniques needed in promoting and building the British National Party at local branch level, starting from scratch when the activist is entirely alone. This booklet is a mine of information that all activists, old and new, will find valuable in the quest to make their activities more efficient and effective. It is a 'must' for everyone who wants to be more than just an armchair nationalist. The handbook is illustrated and runs to 71 pages.

£2.00 post-free.

Spreading the Word

A handbook on propaganda designed to supplement the *Activists' Handbook*. Illustrated, *Spreading the Word* runs to 60 pages and contains five sections:- (1) General Principles of Propaganda; (2) Recruitment; (3) Canvassing for Elections; (4) Speaking to the Media; and (5) Speaking from the platform and presentation of party meetings. Special attention is also given to dealing with enemy smears.

£2.00 post-free.

Freedom for Britain and the British

The BNP's Manifesto for the 1999 Euro Election. 24 pages long, it covers all the key areas in which EU membership is undermining Britain's traditional freedoms.

£2.16 post-free

B.N.P. LEAFLETS

Do you think?

Currently the party's best leaflet, this has been re-worked and reprinted in the hundreds of thousands. As a result, this two-sided, two-colour leaflet has come down in price by a full £1 per thousand to just **£7.00 for 1,000.**

Crime leaflet

With soaring crime rates hitting inner-city, suburban and rural areas alike, this leaflet's mixture of hard facts and humour should prove a winner all over the country. **Price £8.00 for 1,000.**

Banks leaflet

This innovative approach positions the BNP as the only party campaigning for a fair deal for the many millions of customers who are sick to death of being ripped off by the banks. **Price £8.00 for 1,000.**

Immigration leaflet

Draws attention to the shocking figures that show Britons will be a minority in their country by 2060. A real eye-opener. **Price £8.00 for 1,000.**

Hang Child Molesters leaflet

Ever-popular call to hang child murderers. **Price £6.00 for 1,000.**

Postage rates for all the above leaflets are £5.65 for 1,000 and £6.45 for 2,000. Rates for larger consignments will be supplied on request.

B.N.P. VIDEO RECORDINGS

Rally January '97

Video of the postponed 1996 BNP Annual Rally, held in London on January 25th 1997. Speeches by Dr. Donald Stevens, Nick Griffin, Richard Edmonds, John Tyndall and overseas guest José Ramos.

The Voice of Freedom



FREE ON EVENT OF REVIVAL CULTURE AND NATIONALIST POLITICS

RWB

Subscription 15 12th August 2001

For a free information pack call - 0200 900 2871

The Voice of Freedom is the official newspaper of the British National Party. Retail price 45p. Sample copy 64p post-free. One-year subscription (British Isles) £8.65. For details of bulk rates and other information, write to: PO Box 1032, Woodford Green, Essex IG8 9GN.

Rally November '97

Video of the second BNP rally of the year, held in Oxfordshire. Excellent regional reports, plus stirring speeches from Richard Edmonds, Nick Griffin and John Tyndall.

Prices of videos are £15.00 plus 83p p&p.

OTHER ITEMS

Key rings

BNP key rings with logo in red, white and blue are

still available at just **£1.50 each**. Postage 40p per order (overseas £1.00) or free for orders of over £15.00.

Flags

The BNP has the following flags in stock: Union Jack; St. George's flag; St. Andrew's flag; Welsh Dragon flag; Ulster flag. **All flags are priced at £6.00.** These flags should be used to decorate party meetings.

Lapel badges

Official BNP badges all in red, white and blue but in different combinations. Union Jack badges also. **Price £3.00 plus 40p p&p.**

T-shirts

North West London BNP is proud to present some great T-shirts which can be worn anywhere. These are illustrated: 'United we stand' (Flags of four British lands against map background of British Isles); 'These colours don't run' (with Union or St. George's flag on mast); 'I stand for justice' (Goddess of Justice with scales against background of Union Jack); 'St. George for England - April 23rd' (with drawing of St. George and the dragon). These capture the very essence of British Nationalism and cannot be recommended too highly. Only available at present in extra-large size, all are in full colour. **Price £10 each including p&p.** Make cheques/POs payable to 'North West Trading' and send to PO Box 128, Pinner, Middlesex HA5 1XT.


ALLIED PUBLICATIONS

The Truth at Last

Hard-hitting tabloid for American and other white race patriots. *The Truth at Last* is not a BNP publication but the topics are most enlightening, covered as they are with total freedom of speech and frankness. **Send £5 note for trial six-month subscription to *The Truth at Last*, PO Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia 30061, U.S.A.**

Free Speech

Written transcripts of the regular radio broadcasts made by Dr. William L. Pierce of the American National Alliance. Of interest to white people all over the world. Appears monthly. **Send US\$5 for sample copy.** Subscription rates to the UK supplied on request. Write to PO Box 330, Hillsboro, WV 24946. U.S.A.



Subscribe to

Identity!

MONTHLY!

FULL COLOUR!

Identity is the official monthly magazine of the British National Party. With 16 full colour glossy pages, and a four page merchandise insert, plus articles on a wide range of topics, party news and new initiatives, *Identity* is a showcase for modern nationalism and a 'must' for dedicated activists and sympathisers alike.

The cover price of *Identity* is £1.95, but all readers of *Spearhead* can obtain their sample copies for a specially reduced post-inclusive price of just **£2.00**. Please make cheques or Postal Orders payable to 'Identity', and send to PO Box 51, Oundle, PE8 6WQ.

AGRICOLA AND GERMANIA (Tacitus) £7.85. The great Roman historian describes our Celtic and Germanic ancestors in about 100 AD. Two books in one volume. This ed. 1970, 175pp.

... AND THE TRUTH SHALL SET YOU FREE (David Icke) £16.30. A very individual and controversial study of the global financial and political *élite*. 1995, 474pp.

ANGLO-SAXONS, THE (Ed Campbell) £17.80. A handsome, well illustrated book covering the history of England and the culture of its people from the 4th to 11th centuries. With leftist teachers and curriculum advisers doing all they can to undermine knowledge of, and pride in, our ancestors among the younger generation, it is important that nationalists get books like this into the hands of their growing children. 1982 (rep. 1991), 272pp.

ARTHURIAN LEGENDS, THE. £13.00. A beautifully illustrated anthology of Arthurian literature from Geoffrey of Monmouth to T.H. White. A 'must' for lovers of legend. 1979, 224pp.

ARTHUR'S BRITAIN (Leslie Alcock) £11.25. Convincing picture of life in our country between the 4th and 7th centuries, when Celtic Britain was abandoned by the Roman legions to the Picts, Scots and Anglo-Saxons. 1971 (rep. 1989), 437pp.

BABYLONIAN WOE, THE (David Astle) £20.00. A study of the origin of certain financial practices and their effects on the events of ancient history, written in the light of the present day. 1975, 250pp.

BEGINNINGS OF ENGLISH HISTORY, THE (Dorothy Whitelock) £7.85. Describes Anglo-Saxon culture and organisation and relations with the Celts between the leaving of the Romans and the coming of the Normans. 1952 (this ed. 1991), 256pp.

BELL CURVE, THE (Richard Herrnstein & Charles Murray) £28.85. This important book, which has aroused enormous controversy in the USA, deals with differences in intellectual capacity among people and groups. There is an examination of the theory that these could be accounted for by environmental influences, and this is accorded the remarkably small amount of weight it deserves. 1994, xxvi, 845pp.

BRITAIN'S FUTURE: THE MEANING OF THE MAASTRICHT TREATY (Prof. Stephen Bush) £1.85. A strong plea for British independence from the EU bureaucracy. 1990 (rep. 1993), 24pp.

BRITISH; THE (M.I. Ebbutt) £3.40. Sixteen tales of myth and legend that shaped the British consciousness. Illustrated throughout. First published in 1910 (reprint 1996), 374pp.

BRITISH ISLES, THE (Hugh Kearney) £9.40. Subtitled 'A history of four nations', this is an outline of British history by an academic historian stressing the role of the non-English parts of our islands. 1989, 324pp.

BY WAY OF DECEPTION (Victor Ostrovsky) £20.60. Elated to have been chosen and granted the privilege of joining the *élite* team of Mossad, the Israeli secret service, the writer soon learns that the organisation, with its twisted ideals and total lack of respect for human life, has turned the Zionist dream into a nightmare. 361pp.

CALL IT CONSPIRACY (Larry Abraham). £15.55. Shows how socialism is a product of the wealthy, and how money manipulation has disastrous consequences. 1985, xvii, 325pp.

CASE FOR DAVID IRVING, THE (Nigel Jackson) £13.75. A study of the organisations and individuals seeking to suppress the historian David Irving's freedom to travel and communicate, and of the smear campaign against him. The book relates especially to Mr. Irving's efforts to get into Australia. 1994, xi, 208pp.

CHURCHILL'S GRAND ALLIANCE (John Charmley)

The prices given in this list include postal charges for dispatch within the British Isles. For postage applying to overseas orders, please write first for information before ordering.

Payment should be made in sterling only and should be enclosed with all orders. All cheques or postal or money orders should be made out to 'Freedom Books' only, and should not be included in remittances sent to associated bodies for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if 'Freedom Books' is marked on the envelope. Please send all orders to our mail order address, which is:-

**Freedom Books
PO Box 20
Mansfield
Notts. NG19 6HP**

*New titles are marked **

Please note this fact, which is of great importance: the books sold through Freedom Books are written by many different authors holding a number of different political and/or religious viewpoints, very few of them being in any way connected with Freedom Books' parent organisation, the British National Party. The party's mail order book service distributes these books because it is felt that they fill important gaps in public information and understanding of vital national and international issues. This does not mean that all the content of the books should be taken as representative of the policies of the party. The party reserves the right to differ with some of the authors over some parts of their writings.

£22.80. A study of the Anglo-American relationship of 1940-57, showing the disastrous consequences of Churchill's faith in US friendship. 1995, xv, 427pp.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £20.80. The highly controversial story of Britain's World War II leader and the conflict in which he was at the centre. 1987, 591pp.

CHURCHILL: THE END OF GLORY (John Charmley) £31.85. This massive work follows David Irving in its raising of awkward questions concerning Churchill as a national leader, though the author is more moderate in his treatment of his subject than is Irving. 1993, 742pp.

COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER, THE (Correlli Barnett) £12.55. Vitally important book which shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. A classic work by a mainstream historian, more relevant by

the year! 643pp.

COME CLEAN, Mr. GABLE (Alexander Baron) £2.00. Shows how Gerry Gable of *Searchlight* magazine exploits the saga of the Stephen Lawrence murder but has used for his own purposes exactly the same sort of violent hoodlums as those who committed it. Seven pp. (A4).

COMMISSION FOR RACIAL EQUALITY, THE (Ray Honeyford) £36.35 HB. The author, though sympathetic to multi-racialism, exposes the CRE and shows how it has a vested interest in depicting Britain as a society rotten with 'racism'. 1998, 313pp.

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.75. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country. 1966, 76pp.

CONTROVERSY OF ZION, THE (Douglas Reed) £20.80. A best-seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little-known and long-suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

CRIMES AND MERCIES (James Bacque) £10.25. About the shocking treatment of German civilians under the post-war allied occupation, 1945-50. The hidden story of the biggest 'ethnic cleansing' in European history. 288pp.

DEADLIER THAN THE H-BOMB (Leonard Young) £2.50. An important work on the international money power and its threat to the freedom of nations. The author was a distinguished RAF officer and active nationalist. 1992, 72pp.

DISCRIMINATE OR BE DAMNED! (John Fairbanks Kerr) £9.30. An Australian author describes how anti-discrimination legislation in Australia, the UK and the US has resulted in absurdities and injustices. 1995, vii, 126pp.

DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY, THE (Wilmot Robertson) £19.35. This book has attracted world-wide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America (and not just between Blacks and Whites). A comprehensive introduction to the subject very relevant to Britain. 1981, 613pp.

ÉLITE: THE STORY OF THE RHODESIAN SPECIAL AIR SERVICE (Barbara Cole) £13.30. About the campaign against terrorism waged by the Rhodesian equivalent of Britain's SAS. 1984, 449pp.

ELEVENTH HOUR, THE (John Tyndall) Hardback £29.15; paperback £20.82. Comprehensive statement of the case for modern British Nationalism. A partly autobiographical account of the author's origins, first political stirrings and subsequent work in building up the British National party. A 'must' for all BNP supporters. First published in 1988, this is an updated edition published in 1998. 537pp.

EUROPE'S FULL CIRCLE: CORPORATE ÉLITES AND THE NEW FASCISM (Rodney Atkinson) £9.20. The book argues that European Union is fascist and corporate in inspiration. Discusses the Bilderberg Group, the CIA and internationalists like Arnold Toynbee and Joseph Reitlinger. 1996, 149pp.

FACING THE ABYSS (A.K. Chesterton) £2.35. A graphic portrayal of our political and social life by one of the outstanding figures of post-war British Nationalism. 1976, 118pp.

FRENCH REVOLUTION, THE (Nesta Webster) £17.25. Reprint of the classic that exposes the plotting behind the first great bloodbath of modern history. 1919 (reprint 1988), 519pp.

FROM ROME TO MAASTRICHT (Brian Burkett, Mark Bainbridge & Stephen Reed) £2.00. A reappraisal of Britain's membership of the European Union. 1992, 69pp.

GLOBAL TYRANNY, STEP BY STEP (William F. Jasper) £13.40. How the United Nations is being developed as an omnipotent global government,

A vivid picture of our country between the 4th and 7th centuries (see this page)



suppressing national identities and freedoms. 1992, xvii, 350pp.

GRIP OF DEATH, THE (Michael Rowbottom) £16.75. Fine study of modern money, debt slavery and destructive economics. 1990, 337pp.

GREAT AMERICAN BETRAYAL, THE (Patrick Buchanan) £16.85. How American national sovereignty and social justice are being sacrificed to the gods of the global economy. A powerful plea for national freedom and economic nationalism with great relevance to the UK. 1998, 376pp.

HATE COMES TO TOWN (Alexander Baron) £2.35. The lies and poison of the *Searchlight* 'Educational' Trust, documented, exposed and deconstructed. 1999, 25pp (A4).

HEREDITY AND HUMANITY (Roger Pearson) £10.75. Covers race, eugenics and modern science. A scholarly but eminently readable criticism of egalitarianism by the holder of a PhD in Anthropology. 1996, 162pp.

HIDDEN MENACE TO WORLD PEACE (James Gibb Stuart) £11.45. A well-known advocate of monetary reform deals also with secret politics, media censorship and the movement towards a 'New World Order'. 1993, 233pp.

HOLLYWOOD PARTY (Kenneth Billingsley) £18.85. The story of the attempted communist takeover of Hollywood in the 1930s and 1940s. The truth about the 'Blacklist' and the HUAC hearings. A 'must' for students of media lies. 1998, 365pp.

IDEOLOGY OF OBSESSION (David Baker) £36.55. Study of the life and thought of A.K. Chesterton, one of the major figures in British Nationalism from the 1930s to the 1970s. Though not supportive, generally fair. 1996, xiii, 250pp.

JEWISH HISTORY, JEWISH RELIGION (Israel Shahak) £13.00. An absolutely vital book by a brave Israeli professor at Jerusalem University. A real eye-opener on Zionism. 118pp.

KILLING OF THE COUNTRYSIDE, THE (Graham Harvey) £8.85. A former farming journalist describes the havoc wrought by subsidised 'agri-business' on the environment of rural Britain, on the taxpayers and on the rapidly shrinking number of independent farmers. A truly shocking but important book. 194pp.

LAST SECRET, THE (Nicholas Bethell) £9.00. The disgraceful story of how the anti-communist Cossacks were forcibly handed over by the western allies to Stalin for slaughter between 1944 and 1947. 304pp.

LEMMING FOLK, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £9.25. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashions that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.


MAN AND TECHNIC (Oswald Spengler) £4.50. Sub-titled 'A Contribution to a Philosophy of Life', this book argues that the world is governed by natural forces in man and nature, rather than by genteel political and religious creeds. First published circa 1930 (rep. 1992), 73pp.

MANY SHADES OF BLACK (John Bean) £9.45. Political autobiography of one of Britain's leading nationalists of the 1950s and 1960s. The writer describes the major developments in the patriotic movement in those times, together with his own assessment of the issues over which it was sometimes divided. 252 pp.

MONEY BOMB, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £5.25. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

MY AWAKENING (David Duke) £18.85* Perhaps America's foremost nationalist political leader of the present day, the author gives an account of his background, his political development and the stirring campaigns he has fought in, including the one that resulted in his being elected to the Louisiana Legislature. 1998, 717pp.

NAMELESS WAR, THE (Capt. A. Ramsay) £9.10. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War. First pub-



lished 1952, 128pp.

NATURAL LAW OF RACE RELATIONS, THE (Laszo F. Thomay) £8.60. An examination of racial tensions in a number of areas, showing that they are based not on hate nor bigotry but on natural universal laws. 1993, 145pp.

NEW UNHAPPY LORDS, THE (A.K. Chesterton) £12.75. An exposure of the financial powers that seek to drag on mankind into a global police state. 1972, 288pp.

ONE WORLD, READY OR NOT? (William Greider) £11.25. Subtitled 'The Manic Logic of Global Capitalism', this book demonstrates the threat posed by the global economy to the economies and societies of individual nations by currency transfers and the shifting of production to low-wage countries. A demolition of fashionable economic dogma by a mainstream author. 1997, 582pp.

OTHER SIDE OF DECEPTION, THE (Victor Ostrovsky) Hardback £20.00; paperback £8.95. In this sequel to *By Way of Deception*, the rogue agent exposes Mossad's secret agenda and tells the truth about the death of Robert Maxwell and the near-assassination of George Bush Snr. 300pp.

PHILOSOPHY OF NATIONALISM, THE (C.C. Josey) £11.60. An eminent scholar argues that civilisation is based on ethnically homogeneous nations. 1923 (rep. 1983), 227pp.

POPULISM AND ÉLITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.75. A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements that have wielded it in the 20th century. 1982, 101pp.

RACE (J.R. Baker) £25.00 HB. The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. 1974, 625pp.

RACE, INTELLIGENCE AND EDUCATION. £1.00. Produced by a group of nationalist teachers in reply to an NUT race-mixing booklet. Packed with facts and cogent argument. 1979, 20pp (A4).

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £5.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £5.00. A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate for many years. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

RACES OF BRITAIN, THE (John Beddoe) £18.85. Reprint of a scholarly work on the Celts, Saxons, Vikings and Normans, with information on related peoples of Europe. 1885 (rep. 1983), vi, 273pp.

RACE PROBLEMS AND HUMAN PROGRESS (W.C. George) £4.50. Shows how the racial egalitarian dogma is flawed, despite the influential forces promoting it. 1967, 80pp.

RESTORATION OF PROPERTY, THE (Hillaire Belloc) £4.00. Reprint of the 1936 classic by the co-founder of the Distributive movement. trenchant criticism of both capitalism and commun-

ism. 1984, 78pp.

REVOLUTION FROM THE MIDDLE (Dr. Samuel Francis) £7.35. A series of incisive essays arguing that white patriots should adopt insurgent rather than conservative strategies to defend their culture and values. Although it is written for Americans, this book contains many invaluable lessons for British Nationalists also. 1997, 250pp.

ROTTEN HEART OF EUROPE, THE (Bernard Connolly) £11.55. A former official of the European Commission exposes the lies and deceit involved in the establishment of the Exchange Rate Mechanism (ERM) and the dangers involved in European monetary union and European federalism. 1995, xviii, 427pp.

STANARDBEARERS. £7.50. Subtitled 'British Roots of the New Right', this is a collection of essays on 20 political and cultural heroes for British Nationalists, showing that we do not have to look abroad for inspiration in such matters. 1999, 176pp.

SURVIVAL OF BRITAIN, THE (Brian B. Monahan) £9.25. A series of articles from the *Social Creditor* dealing with political and economic threats to Britain's survival. 1971, viii, 124pp.

THERE IS AN ALTERNATIVE (Brian Burdett & Others) £5.40. A cost-benefit analysis of British membership of the EU and a listing of the alternatives. 1996, 111pp.

THIS AGE OF CONFLICT (Ivor Benson) £3.20. A superb exposure of the illegitimate power structures that exist in today's world. A glimpse 'behind the scenes' of international politics by a renowned expert. 1987, 61pp.

TO RESTRAIN THE RED HORSE: THE URGENT NEED FOR RADICAL ECONOMIC REFORM (Alan D. Armstrong) £13.05. A businessman discusses the origins of our money system, its social and ecological consequences and some proposals for its reform. 1996, 137pp.

TRAP, THE (Sir James Goldsmith) £9.25. Enigmatic businessman defends the concept of the nation-state and points out the perils of global free trade, particularly with the Far East. 1994, 216pp.

TREASON AT MAASTRICHT (Rodney Atkinson & Norris McWhirter) £10.00. A complete and detailed exposure of the illegal and unconstitutional action of the Tory Government of John Major in signing the Maastricht Treaty. 1994, 123pp.

TRUTH OUT OF AFRICA, THE (Ivor Benson) £5.25. Describes the effects of the 'Wind of Change' in Africa and the agencies responsible for the plight of that continent today. The writer once worked as a public relations adviser for Ian Smith. 3rd ed. 1995, xv, 92pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

WALL STREET AND THE BOLSHEVIK REVOLUTION (Anthony C. Sutton) £8.10. An exposure of the links between Wall Street finance and the Russian Revolution of 1917 are proved beyond all question by an established scholar. 1974, 228pp.

WEALTH, VIRTUAL WEALTH AND DEBT (Friedrick Soddy) £11.55. The author, a Nobel prize-winner in chemistry, points the way to a satisfactory and beneficial financial system. A long-established classic. 1926 (rep. 1983), 320pp.

WAR AND THE BREED (David Jordan) £9.60. Fine reprint of a remarkably far-seeing book, first published in 1915, which argued that the war then raging would do incalculable damage to the genetic make-up of Britain and Europe. Disturbing but fascinating. 1981, 127pp.

ZIONIST CONNECTION, THE (Alfred Lilienthal) £22.85. Sensational and courageous exposé of Zionist power politics by a non-Zionist Jew. 1982,

IAIN WILSON, who has died aged 80, was organiser of the Dewsbury Branch of the British National Party from 1992 to 1998.

Having been a Conservative voter for much of his life, on returning to 'multi-racial' West Yorkshire in 1990 after spending 15 years in relatively 'mono-racial' Newcastle-upon-Tyne, he felt compelled to re-evaluate his political orientation. And it was not long after his return that he began his search for a more honest explanation of the problems Britain faces than that offered by the Tories. He soon made contact with the British National Party, and not long afterwards was involving himself in the party's development in Dewsbury.

Iain was born in Batley in the former West Riding of Yorkshire. He was educated locally and won a scholarship to the Batley Boys' Grammar School. From there he entered local government, but when the Second World War started he took the earliest opportunity to volunteer for the RAF. Unfortunately, poor eyesight prevented him achieving his ambition of joining a flying crew, and he spent the war

OBITUARY

Iain Wilson (1921-2001)

in Egypt and Palestine working with ground-support, where he attained the rank of sergeant.

After the war, Iain's ambitions led him to broadcasting. He served an apprenticeship in hospital radio and then graduated to presenting his own programme with BBC Radio Leeds. From there he moved to BBC Radio Newcastle, where he both produced and presented programmes until his retirement.

His return to the Dewsbury-Batley area proved something of a shock: the area had changed beyond all recognition. Mount Pleasant, where Iain had spent much of his childhood and youth, had become almost 100 per cent Asian. Iain was concerned at how this had been allowed to happen, but when he

realised that his beloved Conservative party did not share that concern he felt he had no choice but to shift his political allegiance.

Iain Wilson was not a man to accept received wisdom unquestioningly. He refused to allow the establishment's view of the BNP to cloud his judgement but determined to find out for himself what the party stood for. That he shortly afterwards joined the party and then set up the successful Dewsbury branch was a measure of his resolve and of his commitment to his country.

The BNP made much progress during the 1990s, and a growing number of people are now seeing it as an alternative to the three establishment political parties. Iain Wilson played his part in this process. Putting his experience of public relations to good use, he ensured that BNP meetings in Dewsbury were amiable and sociable and appealed to a wide cross-section of the local people. That they set the standard for the branch meetings of today is a measure of his success.

Iain's funeral was a small family affair, though with some of his nationalist friends in attendance, and the 'wake' was held in his favourite pub in Upper Hopton. His ashes were scattered in Burnsall, in Wharfedale.



Iain Wilson is seen here on far left in foreground (with cup) at a BNP leadership conference in the 1990s.

Listen to nationalist radio!

Send large SAE for broadcast details to:-

LIFE RUNE BOOKS, BCM Box 6089, London WC1N 3XX.

Internet: www.natvan.com & www.natall.com

The Eleventh Hour

The Eleventh Hour has been described as the 'Bible' of the British National Party. First published in 1988, the latest (1998) edition has been updated to take in the many new developments on the political scene occurring since. Written by the founder of the British National Party, John Tyndall, the book gives comprehensive coverage of all the major current issues affecting Britain. It delves into the ideologies of liberalism, conservatism and the left, and also examines that of British Nationalism and its background. It contains extensive analyses of the British economy and political system. It looks at issues connected with freedom and order, the mass media, the environment, race and immigration, Northern Ireland, the Commonwealth, foreign policy and defence. It also gives a detailed outline of the development of the BNP and its predecessor organisations in Britain.

The *Eleventh Hour* runs to 537 pages. It is partly autobiographical, giving an account of the author's origins, early political awakening and subsequent life's work.

Price: Hardback £25.00 plus £4.30 p&p; softback £17.50 plus £3.32 p&p. Please make out cheques to Albion Press and send to PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT.

How to obtain *Spearhead*

Make sure of your regular copy of *Spearhead* by becoming a subscriber. Please fill in the form here and send it to us, together with payment, as directed. Please note also the rates for bulk-buyers.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

ENCLOSED SUBSCRIPTION OF.....

PLUS DONATION (IF ANY) OF.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):-

British Isles £24.00 ☐; Overseas surface mail £26.00 ☐; Air mail Europe £28.00 ☐; Air mail elsewhere £34.00 ☐.


PLEASE NOTE: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If remittance is in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required in the case of cheques and money orders and £2.50 in the case of banknotes, these paying for banker's commission and charges.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies £1.10 each; 20-49 copies £1.00 each; 50-99 copies 83p each; 100-199 copies 69p each; 200-499 copies 60p each; 500 copies 55p each. For advice on postal rates for these quantities please contact our office.

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT. Please do not include payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.

JOHN TYNDALL



The book you must read if you want to find out what John Tyndall and the BNP stand for

GILLINGHAM, in Kent, is one of the provincial towns in recent years to become the target of 'white flight' from the major cities, in its case mainly London. For four years, it has had a small but keen British National Party unit. Former BNP National Organiser Richard Edmonds was the guest speaker at a meeting there on the 2nd September, attended by about 20 people.

The meeting was opened by local Organiser Brian Ravenscroft, who described the tremendous problems the so-called 'asylum-seekers' are causing in towns across Kent, including Gillingham. He then called for more volunteers to help distribute the party's main leaflet opposing the asylum-seeker influx.

He was followed by Lee Barnes, one of the party's candidates in the Euro Elections in 1999. His speech was a passionate condemnation of the political establishment and its betrayal of the British people, singling out the big banks for wanting an endless flood of cheap labour from abroad. He ended by urging the audience to remember the men and women who fought in the two world wars and to draw inspiration from their discipline and spirit of self-sacrifice.

Richard Edmonds, as the final speaker, said that the establishment parties all speak with one voice in welcoming immigrants into Britain. He spotlighted the seeming unanimity of attitude shown by Home Secretary David Blunkett and the Tory-supporting *Spectator* magazine in saying, almost in the same words, how 'understandable' it was that asylum-seekers would want to come to the UK to join their families and friends here. He also mentioned *The Spectator's* recent reference to the "positive side of immigration," without ever acknowledging the negative consequences. He went on to say that "half the world would like to come to Britain, including most of Africa and Asia — all the more good reason for keeping such

Meeting gives boost to Gillingham BNP



After the meeting, Richard Edmonds (second right) enjoys a drink with some local members, including a very young recruit in the foreground on left!

people out."

There was only one word to describe the established parties and their leaders who had allowed Britain to be invaded, he said, and that was 'traitors'.

Where do we go from here? Mr. Edmonds said. "We must build up the BNP," he went on: in order to educate the British people politically, to expose the betrayal of the Labour, Tory and Liberal Democrat parties, and eventually to replace them with the BNP.

In the final part of his speech Mr. Edmonds called for more work at street

level to build up the party in the area, and he congratulated the local Organiser for the leafleting campaigns he had promoted in the Medway Towns. He stressed the importance of door-to-door canvassing for coming elections.

The evening ended with a very pleasant 'friends and family' social gathering (see picture).

WAYS IN WHICH YOU CAN HELP SPEARHEAD

OCCASIONALLY we advise our readers on ways in which they can help in making Spearhead a better-produced and more interesting magazine. Here is an update.

First, we have to repeat the call we have made in the past to British National Party units. We want to publish reports of your activities but we can only do so if you send them in. Some units do, but some do not.

Please also send photos, if possible.

Next, if you send us batches of newspaper cuttings please have clearly marked the items in the papers that you think may be of interest to us. Some readers just send masses of newspaper pages, and we have to look all through them to locate the items

intended for our attention. This can be a time-consuming chore. Also please concentrate on news you think is of major national interest, not merely local interest.

Lastly, if you send newspaper photos please send them flat, not folded. Creases in them are a handicap. Thank you.

SPEARHEAD SUPPORT FUND

Income from the sales of our magazine is not enough to cover full production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so that we may remain solvent.

Also, because of the controversial nature of the opinions expressed in these columns, it is impossible to induce wholesale distributors to handle the magazine. Hence our need for additional financial support.

Please send all contributions to *Spearhead*, PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4DT. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested — in which case an SAE would be much appreciated. Thanks.

NATIONALIST LITERATURE

SALE. British, Southern African and North American papers from 1960s onwards. Please send stamped-addressed envelope for sales list to: The Advertiser (Literature sale), PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4DT. Half of all proceeds will go to Friends of *Spearhead*.



The Tories: no change!

Imagine the election of Iain Duncan Smith as new leader of the Conservative Party is going to make an atom of difference to Tory policies? Have another think!

Duncan Smith won some popularity on the right wing of the party by appearing to take a 'hard line' on some of the issues, including his pledge to retain Clause 28, the law forbidding local education authorities to promote homosexuality in schools.

But once he had his eye on the party leadership he decided he'd have to make a bid for the 'gay' vote. So he said he'd reconsider that pledge.

Also, IDS said that he'd 'review' the position of the Tories on the legalisation of soft drugs – another concession to the 'touchy-feely' tendency in the party.

In addition, Duncan Smith has made it clear how much he abhors 'racism'. In other words, he'll do nothing effective to stop the flood of so-called 'refugees' into Britain, nor the mayhem caused by Asian rioters recently in some of our northern towns.

In a nutshell, it means 'no change' for the Tories – just another face at the helm, same old policies!

If you want real change, there's only one party that'll provide it: the BNP! Find out more:-

For more information on the British National Party, write and send 50p to:-

**The Secretary
PO Box 287
Waltham Cross
Herts. EN8 8ZU
Tel. 0700 900 2671**

Name.....

Address.....
.....
.....

I enclose donation of.....

You can make a photocopy of this page or use a plain piece of paper if you don't want to cut up your copy of *Spearhead*.